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Summer is almost upon us. And it’s that time of year again: It’s that time of year again: Here Comes the Sun

IT’S THAT TIME of year again: Summer is almost upon us. And whether you’ll be enjoying yours in the city or in the country, on the lake or by the beach, this is the season of spending time outdoors with family and friends. This month, Consumer Reports has you covered with everything you’ll need to know to get the most out of your summer adventures—and to stay safe while you do.

Before you soak up the sun, look to Your Ultimate Summer Survival Guide for expert analysis on which sunscreens will best protect you from burns or other harmful skin damage. Our breakdown of insect repellents will equip you to make the smart choice about how to keep unwanted pests away, a particularly vital concern given the rise of mosquito-borne viruses. If you’re in the mood for a summer barbecue, we have full Ratings of the top grills on the market—as well as crucial tips on how to avoid the potentially serious risks of undercooked meat. And when it’s time to cool off indoors, our air conditioner reliability and energy-savings scores will empower you to get the most value and contentment out of your unit during the dog days of summer. Wherever you find yourself in the months ahead, you can count on Consumer Reports to have your back—offering data-driven guidance you can trust, so you can keep your mind on what matters: enjoying the season.

As Consumer Reports continues to celebrate 80 years of working with consumers to build a fairer, safer, healthier marketplace, we’ve dug into our archives to showcase highlights from our history. This month we look back at our long legacy of health coverage, from an examination of Alka-Seltzer advertising in our very first issue in 1936 to our award-winning series on the rise of superbugs last year. We hope you’ll take the opportunity to check out all of our historic content in this issue and throughout our anniversary year. And as you peruse our past, we also hope that you’ll look to the future by taking advantage of an exciting new technology we’re exploring to bring even more of our content to life on your smartphone. Read more about that on page 7.
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We share important advice about how to protect yourself and your family from the Zika virus.

ABOUT THE COVER

Our splashy summer cover this month was created by award-winning digital designer Chuck Anderson. Anderson has worked with many nonprofits such as Invisible Children and Charity: Water. He was named a Design Icon by Computer Arts magazine.

Follow me on Twitter @MLTellado

Marta L. Tellado, President and CEO
YOUR FEEDBACK
READERS’ COMMENTS ABOUT OUR CONTENT, IN EMAIL AND SOCIAL MEDIA

Checking Up on Doctors
In response to our May 2016 cover story, “What You Don’t Know About Your Doctor Could Hurt You,” we heard from more than one hundred patients, doctors, and other healthcare professionals. State Sen. Jerry Hill, D-Calif., brought the magazine to the statehouse in support of legislation requiring physicians to inform patients when they’re placed on probation, a proposal Consumer Reports helped initiate. And in an editorial, The San Francisco Chronicle cited “a devastating report by Consumer Reports.”

THIS IS SO OUTRAGEOUS. How some of those doctors mentioned aren’t in jail is beyond me. Thank you for bringing this to light. Hopefully, many people will begin to demand transparency in the medical field as well as learn to ask questions and demand answers. This information should be made available on hospitals, too.
—Marcia Broady, Putnam, IL

I JUST RECEIVED the May issue of Consumer Reports yesterday and saw your superb 14-page article on physician malfeasance and the scandalous level of malperformance of many state boards of medical examiners. It was given the proper emphasis. I say this from the perspective of a retired professor of medicine.
—Karl Engelman, M.D., Hilton Head, SC

IN MY HUMBLE OPINION, this is everything to move the dial to safer healthcare. For decades, the industry has instructed patients and families to do their homework. For even longer, patients have been blamed for their preventable injuries and death—“Oh well, he was sick, so he would have died anyway.” We all have been deeply impacted by such victim blaming and lack of learning from mistakes. This is the first meaningful piece of news in patient safety I have seen in a long time. This is the foundation to build a strong, safer healthcare experience. I have shared this on FB and Twitter. I have emailed it to my state legislators and congressmen with a signature that reads: In hope.
—Mary Ellen Mannix, Philadelphia

I AM ON STAFF at the Federation of State Boards of Physical Therapy. Obviously, your points apply to all licensed health professionals. While physical therapists don’t have the same opportunities for physical harm as physicians, they do have lots of other opportunities, particularly because PT is a very touching profession. We believe our members need to hear this important message. We also hope that we can start having dialogue with Consumer Reports and others around how we can help become part of the solution.
—Mark Lane, vice president, Federation of State Boards of Physical Therapy, Alexandria, VA

I AM A SURGEON from Tennessee. I serve on peer-review committees and am chief of my department in my hospital. When a physician has an egregious event we vet it completely, and the consequences can be quite dire. Far more often there is a gray zone. Medicine used to be a career pursued by the best and brightest because it was a profession of esteem and we were compensated well. No longer. I tell my young partners it is not if you get sued, but when you get sued. I am all for more transparency in my field, but there also needs to be comprehensive tort reform.
—James McDowell, M.D., via Facebook

I AM APPALLED that the state medical boards are so lenient toward punishment for crimes that would put those who are not doctors in prison or in court, penniless. If I had a DUI as a commercial pilot, I would have my license immediately pulled and most likely find it very hard to fly for any airline or company.
—Brad Purvis, Niceville, FL

FORGET CONFUSING websites. The best way to find a good doctor? Ask a nurse.
—Barbara Gorga, Waldwick, NJ
I HAVE BEEN a board-certified family physician for the past 35 years. Your article on physician behavior was an honest look at a difficult subject. Not only is behavior history hard to track down, so is outcomes data. When sending a patient to a surgeon, my main source of competency is limited to the local knowledge gained by being in the same community, i.e., “I have sent a lot of people to this physician and they usually do well.”

—Lawrence Ulmer, D.O., Portage, MI

CLARIFICATION In “What You Don’t Know About Your Doctor Could Hurt You” (May 2016), we referred to Scott Eisenkop, M.D., as a surgical oncologist. More specifically, he is a gynecologic oncologist certified by the American Board of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

Past, Present, and Future
During this 80th anniversary year, we’re celebrating milestones in the form of Consumer Reports covers, starting with our first (May 1936), as well as a timeline of food-related milestones.

THANK YOU so much for the delightful and informative feature, “Cover Worthy” (May 2016). It was a fascinating march through the history of Consumer Reports magazine and a glimpse into consumer advocacy over the 20th century. Nice job!

—Ken Derow, Swarthmore, PA

IF ANYONE EVER questioned how helpful Consumer Reports was, this should end all doubt. You do a fantastic job of keeping the public informed on innumerable topics. I hope anyone reading this issue will be inspired to give to the Consumer Reports Foundation. Your staff is worth its weight in gold.

—Thomas Kidd, Mableton, GA

OUR FOOD, OURSELVES
In the May issue, we debuted the first article in a series of provocative opinion essays by thought leaders, “A Safer Food Future, Now,” by Eric Schlosser, author of “Fast Food Nation.” Go to ConsumerReports.org/saferfood to see more comments and to add your own.

HAVING WORKED for family farmers for nearly 20 years now, I feel our food is safer than it ever has been. Our environment is also much better off with many of the practices put in place by farmers the last 50 years or so, and animal welfare is a top priority of our farmers. I have mixed feelings about the corporate food world, but I see firsthand how food companies will give consumers what they ask for, even if a new product or practice contradicts science, ethics, or common sense.

—Jennifer Dickey Elwell, Shepherdsville, KY

AMERICA NEEDS to realize that “the good life” isn’t all about money. In fact, by pointing to the antibiotic abuses in our food industry, Eric Schlosser shows us that greed can actually snuff out life altogether. While this is nothing new, it is disgusting that men trample fellow men for the sake of personal gain.

—Elizabeth Zaranski, Ariel, PA

MY HUSBAND AND I both come from families that have farmed in Kansas since our state was first settled, and we both cut our teeth on the principles of being good stewards. The author wants kind, compassionate care for animals, which farmers nationwide are already providing. Day after day after day, we feed our animals perfectly balanced, nutritionist-recommended food. Our veterinarian spends more time making sure our animals are happy and healthy than our own family doctor spends on our children. We sweat in the heat, get drenched in the rain, freeze in the winter, and get blown in the Kansas wind to make sure they have the most comfortable life possible. This is what kind, compassionate care looks like.

—Valerie Visser, Riley, KS

IT ALWAYS AMAIZES me how many people are unaware of what is happening around them in regards to our current food system, even those who work within it. Eric Schlosser’s article is right on point. Unfortunately, corruption and greed have been at the forefront for decades, and most often it starts at the top from those whose duty it is to serve and protect in the first place. Disregard for sustainability, the environment—not to mention the health and welfare of animals—has brought us to this point. Thank you, Consumer Reports, for once again bringing this crucial issue to the surface. Awareness is the first step in changing things.

—Barbara Clark, Wilmington, DE

I AM SIMPLY ASKING that the products offered to consumers are labeled accordingly. So, Mr. and Mrs. Farmer, feel free to grow your GMO. I will not be buying a product if it contains genetically modified ingredients, or even if I’m unsure if it does.

—Peggy Kasallis, Franklin Park, IL

Remember, your money as a consumer speaks louder than protests, letters, or lobbying. Buy local, non-GMO, and/or organic; grow your own; be an informed consumer.

—Iuna June, via Facebook

YOU CAN “BLIPP”
the cover to see it come to life.
page 17 to watch us make and test a DIY air conditioner.
page 60 to find the insect repellents that protect against Zika.
page 44 to access our buying guide for wireless speakers.
page 62 to watch videos of this month’s cars on our test track.

Go to ConsumerReports.org/lettertoeditor to share your comments for publication.
A PERSON INVESTING for retirement could hold on to tens of thousands of dollars more thanks to a new rule from the Department of Labor. It requires all financial advisers who provide investment guidance on retirement accounts to act in their clients’ best interest.

You might think that was always the case—but remarkably, that’s not true. Some types of advisers, called fiduciaries, do indeed have to put a client’s financial interests above their own. The products that certified financial planners, registered investment advisers, and certain other professionals can recommend must be not only a good fit for clients but also cost-effective among comparable choices.

But other advisers—such as stockbrokers who work on commission—have merely been required to recommend “suitable” investments. That means they could suggest options that suit a client’s needs and goals but may have high commissions or fees.

The Department of Labor says that when advisers pick those types of investment products for their clients, they reduce their clients’ returns (and pad their own pockets) by an average of 1 percent per year. That might not sound like much, but it adds up to about $17 billion annually.

Under the new rule, which fully goes into effect by January 2018, all financial advisers, whether fee-only or commission-based, will have to adhere to the same “fiduciary rule.” Those who don’t can be held accountable through breach-of-contract lawsuits and other safeguards.

Consumer Reports has been working behind the scenes to help make this rule a reality. During meetings with key stakeholders at the Department of Labor, in Congress, and at the White House, we’ve urged officials to resist industry pressure to weaken the new standard. Consumer Reports has been working behind the scenes to help make this rule a reality. During meetings with key stakeholders at the Department of Labor, in Congress, and at the White House, we’ve urged officials to resist industry pressure to weaken the new standard.

The commerce department has appointed Consumer Reports’ president and CEO, Marta L. Tellado, to serve on its first advisory board on the digital economy. The board will advise the department on its new Digital Economy Agenda, which will cover such issues as promoting a free and open Internet, trust online, and technology innovation.

Tellado is the only consumer representative on the board, joining executives from AT&T, Microsoft, YouTube, and others. In the announcement, Commerce Secretary Penny Pritzker said: “I am thrilled that such a knowledgeable and diverse group of thought leaders has volunteered to serve. As we develop an agenda to help the digital economy grow and thrive, it is critical that we engage with those on the front lines of the digital revolution.”

CONSUMER REPORTS has long been concerned about arsenic in the U.S. food supply. That heavy metal is a potent carcinogen and a danger to developing fetuses, and it can set children up for health problems in adulthood.

We first noted variable but concerning levels of inorganic arsenic in 2012, in a report about apple and grape juice. Later we shared our findings about arsenic in rice and rice products such as infant cereal.

After repeatedly calling on the Food and Drug Administration to act, it responded in 2013 by setting a threshold for juice. That’s a good step, but it’s not protective enough. In guidelines for manufacturers that were released in April, the FDA is now proposing capping the amount of inorganic arsenic allowed in infant rice cereal.

“We’re pleased that the FDA has taken this step, but we remain concerned that so many other rice-based products remain without any standards at all,” says Urvashi Rangan, Ph.D., executive director of our Food Safety and Sustainability Center. The FDA should act swiftly to set levels for rice as well as rice beverages and cereals.

In the meantime, vary your diet and eat grains lower in arsenic, such as barley, farro, and millet. As for babies, they should eat no more than one serving of infant rice cereal per day, on average, and consume cereals made from other grains. To learn more, go to ConsumerReports.org and search for “arsenic.”
LIKE MANY health-minded consumers, Emile Chang Jr. bought a fitness tracker to get more active. But he was shocked to learn that the device—a Fitbit Charge—gave out long before he did. After three months of daily use, says the Long Island software analyst, 25, the strap began to peel away from the device’s screen. Equally troubling, Chang had purchased the same model for his father. “His started to peel after five months,” Chang says.

If you search online, you’ll find dozens of complaints about wristbands made by Apple, Jawbone, Misfit, and others discoloring, splitting, and even disintegrating in less than six months. In 2014 a wave of band-related rashes forced Fitbit to issue a recall on its Force trackers.

Is it crazy to think a manufacturer can design a comfortable, long-lasting strap? The materials experts we contacted would not venture a reply without more info on the bands in question, but David Parks, Ph.D., of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology conceded that exposure to sweat, hand creams, and other household products can lead to chemical degradation in rubber.

Sam Bowen, vice president of product engineering at Fitbit, says the company tests to make sure the bands stand up to daily use. That includes exposing the bands to oils, skin-care products, and cleaning agents. To prolong a strap’s life, Jawbone advises users to “avoid excessive stretching and twisting.” Fitbit’s care tips are more specific: They urge users to wash the band with water and a little rubbing alcohol after a heavy sweat. To remove buildup from sunscreens and insect repellents, try a soap-free cleanser such as Aquanil or Cetaphil. (Soaps can irritate skin when trapped beneath the device.) If you ask us, that sounds like a lot of pampering for a workout tool. –Patrick Austin
INSIGHTS

54% of survey respondents said voting should be just as convenient as other transactions conducted via the Internet.

Democracy in the Digital Age

We now use the Internet to shop for cars, file taxes, and everything else in-between. But are we ready to vote with our smartphones? By Bill Hewitt

Leaders are also questioning the very act of how we vote. At the SXSW Interactive Festival in Austin, Texas, in March, President Barack Obama questioned why voting technology hadn’t advanced in step with Internet-based commerce.

“We’re the only advanced democracy in the world that makes it harder for people to vote,” he said, adding, “how do we redesign our systems so that we don’t have 50 percent or 55 percent voter participation on presidential elections, and during off-year congressional elections, you’ve got 39 or 40 percent voting.”

Both Democrats and Republicans have experimented with online voting. In the 2000 presidential primary, the Arizona Democratic Party offered online voting to registered Democrats. And in the Utah GOP’s presidential caucus this year, registered Republicans were able to vote online.

Consumer Reports is a nonpartisan organization that doesn’t endorse any candidate or party, but it does believe the voting process should be simple, safe, and secure. But are American voters ready for online voting? Are they even interested? To find out, the Consumer Reports National Research Center surveyed 3,649 voting-age U.S. citizens in March.

The results showed that a considerable number of respondents were receptive to the idea. Thirty-nine percent of likely voters said they would choose the option to vote by computer, tablet, or smartphone in the 2016 election rather than vote by traditional methods. The Internet option was especially popular with people under 30.

Diane Harris doesn’t fall into that demographic, but after her ordeal, she says she’d like to vote online, too. “I hope [it] becomes the norm,” she says. “We do our banking online, pay our bills online. It just seems like we should be able to.”

But is Internet voting really a pragmatic solution to low

As Diane Harris set out to vote in the presidential primary in Arizona on March 22, she had no idea of the grueling odyssey she was in for. She and a friend ended up driving to five polling sites. At each one they encountered long lines and no parking available within a half-mile of the door. Harris, 62, a retired paralegal who was using a cane that day, and her friend Helen, who was in a wheelchair, spent almost 3 hours traversing the Phoenix area until they arrived at a polling site 20 miles from home, where the wait was only 20 minutes. “It was all so frustrating,” Harris recalls.

More Participation

Considering the importance of elections in the U.S., the country sure does make voting a challenge. National elections are held on a Tuesday in November, a workday for most people. In 11 states and Washington, D.C., you can register to vote on Election Day. (Maryland allows same-day voter registration only for early voting.) Other states have registration deadlines of eight to 30 days before an election.

Some states have expanded voting by mail, online registration, absentee voting, and similar practices. But others have become more restrictive: 33 states request or require voters to show identification at the polls, and 17 of those states request or require a photo ID. And voters in places like Maricopa County in Arizona, where budget cutbacks have significantly reduced the number of polling spots, can find crowded conditions more reminiscent of a Depression-era breadline than a polling site in the Internet Age.

Why, then, when everything from buying airline tickets to filing federal income taxes is routinely done online, is voting for most Americans still such a manual, show-up-in-person, paper-ballot-based process?

We’re in the midst of a dramatic political year, one that’s raising questions about elections in the U.S., including how parties assign delegates and the rules of primaries.
WILL INTERNET VOTING MAKE YOU MORE LIKELY TO VOTE?

**We commissioned** a survey to find out how the option to vote online would affect the 2016 presidential election if the technology were widely available. We asked 3,649 U.S. citizens of voting age whether they would be more likely to vote if they could cast their ballot via the Internet from wherever they choose.

The survey results suggest that Internet voting would increase the number of likely voters by 7 percentage points. That may not seem like a lot, but eight presidential elections since 1916 have had popular-vote margins under 5 percent. Our survey didn’t collect enough responses at a state level to determine how these new voters might affect the electoral map.

Our survey also found that women, younger adults, Hispanics, and Independents had a higher representation within the group of new potential voters than in the overall voting-age population.

A TOE IN THE ONLINE WATERS

Some forms of Internet voting already exist in the U.S. All 50 states and D.C. send ballots to overseas voters electronically, and 32 states and D.C. allow electronic ballot returns via fax, email, or the Web from some voters, although those voters may have to waive their right to a secret ballot. In 2012 Alaska was the first state to establish an electronic voting system for all absentee voters, whether overseas or not. Alabama recently unveiled a system that allows residents who are overseas at election time to vote on a special website.

Alabama’s secretary of state, John Merrill, a Republican, says the program ensures that those in the armed forces will have their voices heard without having to rely on the postal service to return their ballots on time.

“I’m enthusiastic about what we’re doing about our military men and women,” Merrill says. “We need to take care of them and make sure their vote is counted.”

Everyone Counts, the company that created the software used in Alabama, is one of a number of firms marketing Internet-based voting systems.

(continued on page 12)
Lori Steele, the company’s founder and CEO, says demand is about to take off. “All the people saying why can’t I vote on my tablet or mobile phone actually can,” she says. “And governments are tired of not being able to give it to them.”

Steele argues that in addition to making voting easier, her company’s system offers multiple advantages over traditional methods. For one thing, she says, “security is exponentially increased over any other kind of voting because each ballot, as well as the electronic ballot box, has military-grade encryption.”

She also claims that Web voting is more accurate—no more hanging chads or marks on a paper ballot that may be difficult to interpret. Web systems can also save money and can be upgraded or reconfigured as laws change, Steele says.

Online voting is already further along elsewhere in the world; about a dozen governments have explored the technology. The boldest adopter is the Baltic nation of Estonia, which began offering voters the option of casting their ballots from a website in 2005. With a population of about 1 million, Estonia provides a case study of how such a system might work. Voters use their national identity smart card and PIN with a card reader when they log in to the website to vote. Internet voting is quite popular, with almost 25 percent of eligible voters going digital.

In addition to letting its citizens vote in their pajamas, Estonia has changed other fundamental mechanics of elections. For example, people voting online can change their vote multiple times, right up to when the polls close.

**Hacking the Vote**

Whatever the political system, efforts to introduce Internet voting face the same overriding issue: how to make sure ballots aren’t subject to manipulation or fraud by hackers or compromised by a system failure.

Pamela Smith, president of Verified Voting, a nonpartisan and nonprofit advocacy group in Carlsbad, Calif., that works for improvements in the management of elections, argues that the Web isn’t necessarily the safest voting booth.

“Let’s face it; the number of cyberattacks is increasing, not decreasing,” Smith says, citing the Pentagon and Sony hacks, to name just two. “Part of it is the Internet was not really designed with fundamental security in mind. It was designed as an open communications platform.”

Smith says that online banks and stores are plagued by enough fraud to give anyone pause about adopting Internet voting. (Worldwide, cybercrime costs about $445 billion annually, according to the Center for Strategic & International Studies.) “They’re willing to do it because they’re still making enough money,” she says. “It’s just a cost of doing business.”

Moreover, with online banking and commerce, if something goes awry either through malfeasance or malfunction, it can generally be corrected, given that there’s an identifiable account that can be scrutinized.

By contrast, voting depends on the anonymity of the ballot. No outside party should ever be able to check to see whether your vote was properly recorded.

“When it comes to elections, the question is how many votes you could afford to lose to fraud or malfunction and still call it a legitimate election,” Smith says. “You need something a lot closer to a zero tolerance policy.”

Steele contends that’s what her company’s software provides. “We’ve been busy building a good solution,” she says. “You can’t be in this decade and pretend that technology hasn’t advanced.”

In addition to the progression of encryption, Steele says, there are logistics and processes to deter any hacker bent on, say, stealing a national election in the U.S. Because there are about 8,200 local election jurisdictions in the U.S., “it’s not one election, it’s 8,200 elections,” she says. “You can’t take down a country.”

But Aviel Rubin, a professor of computer science at Johns Hopkins University, says hackers could try to steal a presidential election by manipulating the tally in a few key districts. And the cyberattacks wouldn’t need to be that sophisticated. Rubin says all the attackers would need to do is identify counties in a battleground state where the candidates they oppose might have strong support. Using a technique known as a distributed denial of service, they could flood servers with Internet requests to impede access to them.

“What I would do is drop their Internet service by maybe 80 percent, so that some small fraction of voters would give up in frustration,” Rubin says. “Some people are just not going to bother.”

And some computer-science experts say that experience has shown that voting online is a dangerous practice. A case in point: In 2010 the District of Columbia planned to introduce online balloting for overseas absentee voters. In the run-up to the election, officials invited people to try to hack the system by what’s known as “penetration testing.” The results were disquieting. It took a team from the University of Michigan only 48 hours to seize almost complete control of the election server and successfully change every vote and reveal the identity and vote of almost every voter. And as it was rummaging around the server, the team observed attack traffic from such places as Iran and China.

Can any of those vulnerabilities be readily fixed? Not in the foreseeable future, according to Rubin and other computer experts. “I’d love to be able to vote on my phone, but I don’t see how it could be done securely,” he says. “It would mean completely changing systems on our devices and how our networks are configured.”

If anything, Rubin adds, the potential vulnerabilities of the Internet are looming larger than ever before. “I think it’s much more likely that in the future we’ll have to stop online banking,” he says, “than it is that we’ll have online voting.”

Steele dismisses the naysayers. “We know the bad guys work hard all the time to make it harder to stay secure,” she says. “That’s why we work hard all the time.”

Merrill seconds that sentiment, insisting that any qualms about security are exaggerated. “We have some people who are opposed to anything until it’s 100 percent secure,” he says. “If that were the case, we wouldn’t have a lot of the inventions we have today.”

Surprisingly, though, Merrill has no desire to see online voting expanded to the entire electorate. To him, the real danger isn’t security; it’s that it might make the process so easy it might be taken for granted.

“I’m not supportive of electronic voting for just anybody, just because somebody’s too sorry to get up and cast a ballot,” he says. “I think you should have to show a little initiative.”
“Cooking potatoes to a deep brown creates a compound called acrylamide, which, at high levels, has been shown to cause cancer in animal studies,” says Amy Keating, one of our registered dietitians. The World Health Organization considers acrylamide to be a “probable human carcinogen,” although it and other health agencies say that more studies are needed to determine what levels might be dangerous.

Acrylamide can also be found in bread, cereal, coffee (because the beans are roasted), and other foods. To play it safe, be careful how you cook potatoes. It’s the starch inside that reacts to the heat. Baking, grilling, and roasting can cause acrylamide to form, but frying produces the most. So french fries and potato chips are among the worst culprits, Keating says.

An easy way to prepare potatoes at a cookout is to wrap them in aluminum foil before grilling. That will steam them instead, and steaming doesn’t produce acrylamide. Neither does boiling. If you’re slicing potatoes, rinse the slices in water before cooking by any method, which will also reduce acrylamide formation. And always aim for a golden or light-brown color—no darker—according to the Food and Drug Administration.

My dog loves to ride in the car. What’s the best way to keep her safe?

It’s important to keep your dog secured just like the rest of your passengers, according to the nonprofit Center for Pet Safety. Jennifer Stockburger, Consumer Reports’ child-safety expert, says “it’s as much about your safety as hers.” That’s because if the vehicle stops short, your unrestrained pet could turn into a dangerous projectile.

Pet products are an unregulated $60 billion industry. Some companies label their products as “tested” or “crash-tested,” but there are no government or industry standards for pet-safety items, says the center’s founder, Lindsey A. Walko. So the group did its own independent tests of crates, harnesses, and pet carriers. (They were funded by Subaru to cover the costs of the crash tests.) In the end, only a handful of products earned the center’s crash-test certification. For carriers, they were the PetEgo Jet Set Forma Frame Carrier with an Isofix-Latch Connection, $252, and the Sleepypod Mobile Pet Bed with PPRS Handilock, $170 to $190; for crates, Gunner Kennels G1 Intermediate, $500, with 8’ Tie-Down Straps, $75; and for harnesses, the Sleepypod Clickit Sport, $70 to $90, and Sleepypod Clickit Utility, $90 to $100. (Prices vary according to size.) To learn more, go to centerforpetsafety.org.
SUMMERTIME ... and the living is easy. Or so says the song. Sure, you can have a blast, but along the way there are stinging sunburns and itchy bug bites, smartphones that go for a swim without you, and patio cookouts with barbecue grills that sputter and stop. Our summer guide will arm you with all of the intel you need to avoid mishaps and keep the focus on fun. We recommend coolers that will keep your drinks chilled on the hottest days, towels that easily shake free of sand, beach anchors that will stand up to a stiff breeze, sunscreens to protect you from dangerous rays, and insect repellents that really do keep bugs at bay—now more important than ever because of the Zika virus. And to make sure your summer soirées are a success, we rate grills at different prices that are built to last and wireless speakers guaranteed to make your next outdoor party really rock. Now that’s something to sing about.
Yeti Roadie 20 cooler $250; Sandusa sand-resistant beach towel $50; USA Sand Anchor beach umbrella $16. See product details on page 16.
If you’ve ever chased a tumbling beach umbrella down a stretch of hot sand on a windy day as other sunbathers ducked and cringed, you know how important it is to make sure it’s well-secured. But don’t rely on brute force. For extra insurance, use an anchor to hold your umbrella in place. We recently tested seven models, all sold at mass retailers and online. Four have a screw-in auger designed to be a bear. The cooler was a $350 Yeti Tundra 45, billed as bear-proof, and the animal gave up and left, presumably hungry. Score one for rotomolded polyethylene.

We tested a smaller, similarly constructed cooler, the $250 Yeti Roadie 20, along with three cheaper—much cheaper—options from Coleman, Rubbermaid, and a company called Lifoam that makes disposable Styrofoam.

Models. Our take: For a day at the beach, we would choose the Coleman 18-Quart Party Stacker or the 20-quart Rubbermaid Cooler/Ice Chest. Both earned a Very Good in our tests for keeping ice frozen for a full day in 100°F conditions. Each costs about $20 and weighs 4.4 pounds empty. The Yeti keeps ice frozen almost twice as long, so it earned an Excellent score—but it costs 12 times as much and weighs 15.4 pounds.

How about the $8 Lifoam 22 Quart Cooler? It holds more than the other models, weighs a feathery 0.6 pounds, and insulates about as well as the Coleman and the Rubbermaid. Essentially disposable, it may last only a couple of days, so it scored only Fair.

———Jerry Bellinson

**WHAT’S THE COOLEST COOLER OF THEM ALL?**

**Last September** Rebecca Cathey, a recent retiree from Oklahoma, was camped in her truck near Steamboat Springs, Colo., when she was woken up by the sounds of huffing, banging, and snorting outside. “Oh, my gosh,” she thought. “Something’s trying to get in the cooler!” That something turned out to be a bear. The cooler was a $350 Yeti Tundra 45, billed as bear-proof, and the animal gave up and left, presumably hungry. Score one for rotomolded polyethylene.

We tested a smaller, similarly constructed cooler, the $250 Yeti Roadie 20, along with three cheaper—much cheaper—options from Coleman, Rubbermaid, and a company called Lifoam that makes disposable Styrofoam.
THE COOLEST HOUSE ON THE BLOCK

Avoid an air conditioning breakdown as summer heats up with this keep-cool guide

BY MARY H.J. FARRELL

LG LW6016R $175

This small window air conditioner is 6,000 Btu/hr. and can cool a room of 100 to 300 square feet. It got excellent scores for cooling and for restarting after a power outage. It’s pretty quiet on low but a bit noisier on high, something to consider if you’ll put it in a bedroom. It comes with a remote.

RATING 74

Scan this page using the Blippar app for an air conditioner buying guide and to see us test a DIY version (see page 7 for details).
SUMMER’S STEAMIEST DAYS can keep even the most intrepid among us shuttered indoors seeking the sublime relief of air conditioning. And with temperatures predicted to be above average for much of the country, you’ll want to make sure your A/C is in good working order.

Once upon a time, window air conditioners were the norm, but today about 90 percent of newly built homes come with central air. If you already have it, bear in mind that systems tend to last no more than 10 years old, and if yours is at least 10 years old, it probably no longer meets today’s energy efficiency standards. By upgrading to a new system, you could cut your cooling costs by 20 to 30 percent.

The appeal of central air is pretty obvious; the seasonal ritual of installing and uninstalling window units every summer and fall can be tiresome and difficult. But switching to central air can be disruptive and expensive. To assess whether it’s a good investment, consider two factors: the length of the cooling season where you live and whether most nearby homes have central air. If you live far south or west in the country, home buyers probably expect it, so if you don’t have central air, it could lower your home’s value. In the Northeast, it isn’t as critical because the cooling season is shorter, and many older homes don’t have it.

The messiest part of installing central air in an older home without a forced-air heating system is creating the duct system. Another option is a split ductless system. It cools more uniformly; like central air, but instead of using ductwork, the systems have multiple indoor units (called air handlers) mounted high on the wall, as well as an outside condenser. The mechanics between the two parts are the evaporator coils and the controls. Owners of ownership, the most repair-prone parts are the evaporator coils and the cooling system.

EXPERT TIP If your furnace is more than 15 years old, consider replacing both the furnace and air conditioner because a new A/C system won’t work as efficiently if it’s connected to the blower motor of an old furnace.

Assuming you’ve decided to take the plunge, you’ll want to choose a dependable central air conditioning system. To estimate the reliability of major brands, 16,247 of our readers told us about their experience with their system.

There are two types: conventional, which are more common in areas with wide temperature swings, and heat pump, which are usually used in areas with more moderate cooling and heating needs. Heat-pump systems, used for cooling and heating, move warm air from your cool house outside when it’s hot out and do the opposite when it’s cold.

In addition to the most and least reliable brands shown in the chart below, we learned which parts of the systems are most likely to break. By the fifth year of ownership, the most repair-prone parts on both types of systems are the evaporator coils and the controls. Owners of heat-pump systems also reported damaged compressors. Rheem was the most expensive conventional system to repair; Ruud heat-pump repairs were the most costly.
RATINGS: Window Units That Keep It Cool

Expect to spend up to $350 for a large window air conditioner; smaller ones cost less. As a rule of thumb, a window A/C needs a minimum of 20 Btu/hr. for each square foot of living space. We group-tested models by size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CR Best Buy</th>
<th>Recommended</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### A. WINDOW A/Cs, 5,000 TO 6,500 BTU/HR. (COOLS 100 TO 300 SQUARE FEET)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>BTU/HR.</th>
<th>EER</th>
<th>MAX WINDOW WIDTH (IN.)</th>
<th>TEST RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GE AEM0SLV</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LG LW6016ER</td>
<td>$175</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Frigidaire FFRA0511R1</td>
<td>$125</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Frigidaire FFRE0511Q1</td>
<td>$175</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Friedrich Chill CP050G10</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5,450</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Frigidaire FFAR0511Q1</td>
<td>$220</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LG LW6015ER</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Haier HWF05XCL</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kenmore 86050</td>
<td>$130</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Haier HWR06XCR</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. WINDOW A/Cs, 7,000 TO 8,500 BTU/HR. (COOLS 250 TO 400 SQUARE FEET)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>BTU/HR.</th>
<th>EER</th>
<th>MAX WINDOW WIDTH (IN.)</th>
<th>TEST RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GE AEM0LT</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LG LW8014ER</td>
<td>$240</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Frigidaire AEW08LV</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Haier ESA40BN</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Haier ESAQ04BP</td>
<td>$370</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>R/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LG LW8016ER</td>
<td>$240</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>R/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Frigidaire FFRE0833Q1</td>
<td>$240</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>R/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. WINDOW A/Cs, 9,800 TO 12,500 BTU/HR. (COOLS 350 TO 650 SQUARE FEET)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>BTU/HR.</th>
<th>EER</th>
<th>MAX WINDOW WIDTH (IN.)</th>
<th>TEST RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LG LW1216ER</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LG LW1214ER</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Haier HWE12XCR</td>
<td>$310</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Frigidaire FFRE1033Q1</td>
<td>$320</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>R/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D. PORTABLE AIR CONDITIONERS, 9,000 TO 15,500 BTU/HR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>BTU/HR.</th>
<th>EER</th>
<th>MAX WINDOW WIDTH (IN.)</th>
<th>TEST RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Friedrich ZoneAire P12B</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LG LP1514XR</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Haier HPH12XCM</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Whynter ARC-14SH</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Whynter ARC-12SDH</td>
<td>$470</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How we test. Air conditioners have gotten more energy-efficient since we began testing them in 1953. That’s why this year we gave our testing chamber a high-tech makeover. Using a sliding wall, we can make the room larger or smaller to mimic the room sizes in an average house. After installing the unit in a double-hung window, we crank the heat up to 90° F, then measure how long it takes the air conditioner to cool the room down 10° F. The best do it in less than 15 minutes.

HIGH-IQ AIR CONDITIONING

Some of today’s air conditioning systems are smarter than they used to be. To get the most out of your central A/C for the least money, pair it with a programmable thermostat. That allows you to cool your house when you’re home but let the temperature rise when you’re not. More and more of those energy-saving thermostats work with Wi-Fi, enabling you to use a smartphone app to adjust the settings from wherever you are—say, on your commute home. (In our thermostat tests, models with remote access commute home. (In our thermostat tests, models with remote access that win offer a Wi-Fi kit, and LG has introduced a smart portable air conditioner. If you already have a home router or smart-home hub, you may be able to add more functionality to newer room units as long as they have a remote control, and most do.)

How we test. Air conditioners have gotten more energy-efficient since we began testing them in 1953. That’s why this year we gave our testing chamber a high-tech makeover. Using a sliding wall, we can make the room larger or smaller to mimic the room sizes in an average house. After installing the unit in a double-hung window, we crank the heat up to 90° F, then measure how long it takes the air conditioner to cool the room down 10° F. The best do it in less than 15 minutes.
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No-Ad Sport SPF 50 lotion $10

Provides excellent protection and feels good on your skin.

RATING 88

Scan any page in this section using the Blippar app to watch videos and shop for products (see page 7 for details).
OUR SUNSCREENS MAY NOT be protecting us as well as we think. In part, we have ourselves to blame—we often use too little, don’t rub it in properly, or don’t reapply enough or at all.

But even if you do everything right, the odds are good that your sunscreen may not deliver the protection it promises on the label. This year we tested and rated 65 lotions, sprays, and sticks with SPF claims of 30 or higher—30 being the minimum level recommended by the American Academy of Dermatology. But 28 of them—a full 43 percent—failed to meet the SPF claim on the label. Three of them fell far short, with an SPF of less than 15. That’s not enough protection, and it could leave you vulnerable to sunburn and possible long-term skin damage, such as wrinkles or skin cancer.

Those results aren’t a fluke; we’ve observed that pattern in our testing over the past four years. Of all the sunscreens we’ve tested over that stretch of time, fully half came in below the SPF number printed on the label, and a third registered below an SPF 30. (See “The Sorry State of Sunscreens,” on page 27.)

Fortunately, this year’s tests did reveal some real standouts: 17 hard-working sunscreens that won’t leave you feeling greasy or sticky. With the guidance in “Crowd Pleasers,” on page 25, and our Ratings, on page 28, you should have all of the information you need to be safe and comfortable in the sun this summer. To make sure you use your chosen product correctly, we have answers to your most common questions.

Q **What does SPF stand for, exactly?**

SPF LITERALLY MEANS sun protection factor. It’s a measure of how well a sunscreen shields your skin against ultraviolet B rays (UVB), the chief cause of sunburn. Usually, the number is explained as the amount of time it takes an individual’s skin to burn when it’s covered in sunscreen compared with when it’s not. For example, an SPF 30 would theoretically allow you to stay in the sun 30 times longer than you could without protection. That means if you typically burn in 15 minutes, wearing an SPF 30 would extend that time to 7½ hours.

Here’s the catch, though: The level of SPF protection is calculated assuming you’ve applied the right amount of sunscreen. For the average-sized person, that’s an ounce (about 2 tablespoons, enough to fill a shot glass or a blob the size of a golf ball) to adequately cover your face and body. Most people apply about half that amount. Also, sweating and swimming decrease the amount of sunscreen on the skin over time.

For the best protection, apply sunscreen 15 to 30 minutes before going outdoors so that it has time to fully absorb into your skin. Then—regardless of the SPF number on the bottle—reapply it every 2 hours, or immediately after you swim or perspire heavily. “I see patients daily that got burned because they didn’t reapply,” says Mona Gohara, M.D., associate clinical professor of dermatology at Yale School of Medicine. Don’t rely on a tinge of burn on your skin as a sign you should reapply, either. Sunburn often takes several hours after sun exposure to fully develop, so you could be burning even if your skin doesn’t look red right away.

Q **Is higher better when it comes to SPF?**

“It’s not true that sunscreens with higher SPF’s block double or triple the rays as those with lower ones. They really only provide slightly more protection,” Gohara warns. The breakdown: SPF 30 blocks 97 percent of UVB rays, SPF 50 blocks 98 percent, and SPF 100 blocks 99 percent. Not realizing that may lead people to think that if they use a higher SPF, they don’t need to reapply or practice other sun-savvy behaviors, such as seeking the shade and covering up.

For example, in a 2014 nationally representative survey of 1,000 adults from the Consumer Reports National Research Center, 40 percent of people said they wait 3 hours or longer before reapplying when they are wearing a sunscreen with a higher SPF than they usually do, and 31 percent never reapply. That is especially problematic when, as we mentioned, people tend not to apply enough sunscreen in the first place. In previous tests we’ve found that if you use half of the recommended amount of sunscreen, you’ll get half the protection—an SPF 30 becomes an SPF 15, for instance. That illustrates the advantage of using
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a higher-SPF sunscreen: Even if a product doesn’t deliver its claimed SPF, you’ll have a better chance of getting a minimum level of coverage. In our tests this year, of the 36 sunscreens labeled SPF 40 or higher, 26 met their claim or tested above an SPF 30.

There are no guarantees, though. Of the 28 sunscreens that did not meet their SPF claim in our tests, some missed the mark dramatically, even some labeled with a high SPF. For example, Banana Boat Kids Tear-Free, Sting-Free SPF 50 lotion and CVS Kids Sun Lotion SPF 50 both tested at an SPF 8. We shared our test results with Banana Boat and CVS and asked for a comment. Edgewell Personal Care, the makers of Banana Boat, said: “The lot of Banana Boat Kids SPF 50 lotion tested by Consumer Reports met the rigorous specifications in our manufacturing and testing process, including the level of active ingredients present required to achieve the formula’s SPF value.” CVS said, “We commissioned an extensive retesting of our product by the supplier and an independent third party, using industry standard and FDA-approved methodology. The results of this retesting met all product specifications, including the product’s SPF 50 rating.”

Is broad-spectrum a meaningful phrase or just marketing?

IT’S VERY MEANINGFUL—and we recommended using a broad-spectrum product. But perhaps not for the reason you think. According to a 2016 Consumer Reports nationally representative survey of 1,000 sunscreen users, 70 percent knew to look for a broad-spectrum product, but one-third incorrectly thought the term meant that a sunscreen protects all day without reapplying. What broad-spectrum really means is that in addition to warding off sunburn-causing UVB rays—which are more prevalent in the summer and between the hours of 10 a.m. and 4 p.m.—a sunscreen guards against UVA rays. Those rays penetrate into the deeper layers of skin than UVB, accelerating age-related skin damage and largely contributing to the risk of melanoma (the deadliest form of skin cancer). Protection against UVA is critical because those rays are constantly present during the day, no matter the season or weather. They also pass through clouds and glass.

Similar to the way you can pass a test with either an A or a D grade, some sunscreens do a much better job of defending against UVA than others. But there’s no labeling system that indicates the strength of a sunscreen’s UVA-blocking ability the way an SPF number can help you measure the level of UVB protection. And a sunscreen can be labeled broad-spectrum if it just passes the test the FDA requires manufacturers to use. For our Ratings, we use a test that is similar to the one used in Europe, where the passing grade for UVA is higher, which allows us to measure the degree of UVA protection. The majority of this year’s products received at least Very Good UVA scores, but 20 percent scored Good or lower.

If I’ve never used sunscreen my whole life, why should I start now? Isn’t the damage already done?

HARDLY. FOR YEARS, it was believed that we got the majority of our lifetime dose of UVA and UVB rays before the age of 18. But now experts know that it’s cumulative over your lifetime. By age 40, you’ve received just 47 percent of your lifetime sun exposure, and any corresponding damage along with it. By age 59, you’ve racked up 74 percent, leaving the final 26 percent for after you turn 60. The upshot: It’s never too late to start protecting your skin.

What exactly are ‘natural’ sunscreens, and do they work?

“NATURAL” IS A CLAIM you see on sunscreens, but it’s hard to know what it means because there are no standards for the term. It is often used to refer to mineral sunscreens, those that contain only titanium dioxide, zinc oxide, or both as active ingredients. Those minerals work by reflecting the sun’s UV rays. The other type of sunscreen is chemical, which absorbs the sun’s rays. Those products contain active ingredients such as avobenzone, ecamsule, homosalate, octisalate, octocrylene, octyl methoxycinnamate, octyl salicylate, and oxybenzone.

Mineral sunscreens aren’t really natural: Titanium dioxide and zinc oxide may have originally come out of the earth, but by the time they’re formulated into sunscreens, they’ve been processed and refined.

Almost half of the sunscreen users in our latest survey look for “natural” on a sunscreen label. But mineral sunscreens have consistently performed less effectively in our testing than their chemical cousins. In fact, not a single one made our list of recommended sunscreens this
Aren't the chemicals in sunscreen toxic?

Despite concerns about the safety of many active ingredients used in sunscreens, both chemical and mineral, it's clear that the risk of getting skin cancer as a result of not using sunscreen trumps those concerns.

Some chemical UV filters, such as octinoxate and oxybenzone, have been found to cause hormonal changes in animals; however, short-term research in people did not show any adverse effect. And one large animal study found that retinyl palmitate, one of a group of chemical compounds related to vitamin A called retinoids, may become carcinogenic when exposed to light. But that hasn't been studied in people. Taking pills that contain retinoids for skin conditions such as acne has been linked to birth defects, so pregnant women may want to avoid sunscreens with retinyl palmitate.

One reason people like mineral sunscreens is that they are less irritating to skin; 42 percent of sunscreen users in our latest survey look for products for sensitive skin. “Chemical sunscreens can be irritating, so for people with eczema, rosacea, or other conditions that make skin more sensitive, mineral sunscreens are better options,” according to Gohara. If you want a sunscreen without chemicals, consider Cotz Plus SPF 58, which was the top-scoring “natural” sunscreen in our tests—delivering an SPF 38 and Very Good UVA protection—or California Baby Super Sensitive SPF 30+, which met its claim and received a Good Rating for UVA and UVB. Another option is to try a chemical sunscreen that doesn't contain oxybenzone—which can cause negative skin reactions in some people. The oxybenzone-free lotion that rated highest in our tests is Ocean Potion Protect & Nourish SPF 30.

Is it better to use a lotion or a spray?

The best sunscreen is the one you’re most likely to use, and we found outstanding performers in both lotions and sprays. There are a few caveats with sprays. There are a few caveats with sprays. 

ILLUSTRATIONS BY MICHAEL BRANDON MYERS
sprays, though. Our tests found that if applied properly, you can cover your skin adequately with a spray in one pass, but proper application isn’t always easy, especially when it’s windy. “Oftentimes you end up protecting the air more than your skin,” Gohara says. That’s why we recommend spraying, then repeating, just to be safe. Don’t spray directly on your face—something our latest survey shows 26 percent of people who use sprays do—because you could get it in your eyes or inhale it. Instead, spray the sunscreen into your hand and rub it onto your face. Use sprays on kids only as a last resort—they are more likely to inhale the ingredients, which could cause lung irritation—or spray it into your hands and rub it onto their skin. Last, even if a spray is labeled “no-rub,” you’ll want to smooth it into your skin to increase its protection.

Q Do you get what you pay for when it comes to sunscreen?

THERE ISN’T A consistent correlation between price and quality. The top-scoring lotion, La Roche-Posay Anthelios 60 Melt-In Sunscreen Milk, wins for sun protection but has a major downside—it costs $36 for just 5 ounces, or $7.20 an ounce. Second place went to Pure Sun Defense SPF 50, which costs one-tenth as much: 79 cents per ounce, or $6.30 for an 8-ounce tube, making it a CR Best Buy. If you don’t mind the kid-focused packaging (cartoon characters on the tubes), it’s perfectly suitable for adults as well as children. As for sprays, one of the least expensive also got the highest rating, Trader Joe’s Spray SPF 50+, which costs $1 an ounce, or $6 for a 6-ounce can.

Q A lot of sunscreens say ‘no nanoparticles.’ What does that mean?

ORIGINALLY, MINERAL SUNSCREENS left a white film on skin—think of the thick coating you used to see on a lifeguard’s nose—because the particles of titanium dioxide or zinc oxide were large. To make those sunscreens more transparent and less chalky looking, titanium and zinc are now often engineered into tiny nanoparticles. The problem: There’s a perception that those tiny particles can penetrate skin and build up in the body, potentially causing health effects. Some sunscreen manufacturers now use “non-nano” minerals in their products that they claim are slightly larger than nanoparticles. Whether that claim is true or consumer concern about nanoparticles overall is warranted isn’t entirely clear.

Q I’m always in the water. Why can’t I find a waterproof sunscreen?

NO SUCH PRODUCT exists. The FDA doesn’t allow the term to be used for sunscreens. (“Sweatproof” is also prohibited.) Instead, the FDA allows a sunscreen to be labeled water resistant for 40 or 80 minutes, whichever the manufacturer’s test shows. But you still need to reapply it when you get out of the water.

Shiseido claims that soaking in water actually enhances the protection of its WetForce Ultimate Sun Protection Lotion SPF 50+. We tested it on test subjects’ dry skin and again after they soaked in water for 30 minutes (the amount of time Shiseido says it takes to activate the enhanced protection). We found that the product met its SPF claim and got an Excellent UVB Rating, but the sunscreen performed the same on wet skin as it did on dry skin. When we contacted the company in August for comment, it said: “It is our policy not to comment on testing done outside of the auspices of our company.” It also said that our findings may vary from theirs because of differences in test protocols.

Q I’d use sunscreen if it wasn’t so streaky and sticky. Does a user-friendly one even exist?

MANY PRODUCTS are formulated to feel and smell good, and our sensory experts have identified some of the best (see “Crowd Pleasers,” on page 25). Still, you can minimize the amount of sunscreen you use by wearing sun-protective clothing and hats. For instance, if you wear a long-sleeve shirt with a UPF (ultraviolet protection factor) of 50 or higher, you don’t have to put sunscreen on your torso and arms. Don a densely woven hat and you can skip sunscreen on your scalp. For the best protection, choose one with a wide (3 inches or more) brim.

Because eyelids are very susceptible to (continued on page 26)
Crowd Pleasers

What would you do if you bought a sunscreen and didn’t like the way it smelled, felt, or looked on your skin?

More than a third of consumers in our new national survey of 1,000 sunscreen users would give away a sunscreen they did not like—and 20 percent would toss it. Fortunately, the insights from our sensory test panel can help you find a sunscreen that you’ll actually use. Here are our highest-rated picks that solve your biggest sunscreen complaints.

49% want an unscented sunscreen

1 | Pure Sun Defense SPF 50 lotion and
2 | La Roche-Posay Anthelios 60 Melt-In Sunscreen Milk lotion have just a hint of the plastic scent you sometimes get in unscented products.

43% dislike a sunscreen that leaves a white film

The good news: None of our recommended sunscreens will make you look like a ghost.

31% look for a tropical, coconut scent

3 | Banana Boat SunComfort Continuous Spray SPF 50+ has a slight pina colada scent.

51% don’t want one that leaves an oily sheen on their skin

2 | La Roche-Posay Anthelios 60 Melt-In Sunscreen Milk lotion, our top-rated sunscreen, was very lightweight, but it’s pricey—$36 for a 5-ounce bottle, or $7.20 per ounce.

4 | Aveeno Protect + Hydrate SPF 30 really is sheer, and at $10 for 8 ounces, or $3.33 per ounce, is about half the cost.

42% don’t want a sunscreen to feel greasy

5 | No-Ad Sport SPF 50 lotion is super-protective and ticks off all of the top wishes—nongreasy, fragrance-free, and no white streaks. Bonus: It’s priced at just $10 for a 10-ounce bottle, or 93 cents per ounce.

6 | Equate Sport Continuous Spray SPF 50 from Walmart doesn’t leave a film or sheen on your skin.
UV damage (5 to 10 percent of skin cancer occurs here), sunglasses are also de rigueur. The ideal shades should block 99 to 100 percent of UVA and UVB rays, and be of sufficient size to shield the eyes and surrounding areas—the more skin covered, the better. But because sunglasses and hats can’t cover your entire face, you’ll still need sunscreen.

**Q** *Do I need a special product for my face?*

**NOT NECESSARILY, but you may still want to use one.** The active ingredients in face and body sunscreens are the same, but the base of the products are formulated to suit the needs of different skin types, explains Arielle Kauvar, M.D., clinical professor of dermatology at New York University School of Medicine. For example, many facial sunscreens are oil-free, which is a boon for those with oily or acne-prone complexions. Oil-free products are usually lighter as well, which allows them to be used under makeup without feeling greasy.

Facial sunscreens often have no fragrance or a light scent, and because fragrances are one of the major causes of skin irritation, that is a plus if you have sensitive skin. The facial sunscreen that did best in our tests, Avon Sun+ Sunscreen Face Lotion SPF 30, is oil-free but contains a moderate amount of floral and citrus aroma. Second place went to Target’s Up & Up Ultra Sheer SPF 30, which has little aroma. If you don’t want to pay a premium for a separate face sunscreen but want one that’s lightweight, avoid ultra-high SPF’s. In general, those products contain higher levels of active ingredients that can make them seem heavier on skin.

**Q** *Does sunscreen work past its expiration date?*

**ALMOST 60 PERCENT of the people in our latest survey check the expiration date on a bottle of sunscreen before they use it.** They’re wise to do so because the active ingredients can deteriorate over time, rendering the product less protective.

Not all sunscreens have expiration dates printed on the bottles, though; in that case, our experts recommend using a permanent marker to write the purchase date on the bottle. And the way you store your sunscreen matters, too: Though products will maintain their original strength for three years, if they’ve been exposed to high temperatures (for example, by being stuffed in the glove box or trunk of your car) or have obvious changes in color or consistency, it’s smart to buy a fresh bottle, just to be safe.

**PROTECTION FROM THE INSIDE OUT?**

One manufacturer claims its drinkable supplement guards against UV skin damage.

If you down a bottle of an orange-peach drink 30 minutes before going outside, you’ll get 3 to 5 hours of sun protection—at least that’s the claim of UVO, which the company says is the “first ready to drink vitamin supplement specifically formulated to protect and repair your skin from sun damage.” The company also asserts that the drink’s 30-plus vitamins, antioxidants, and phytonutrients are “scientifically proven to work.” As with other supplements, UVO ($20 for four 12-ounce bottles) does not need prior approval from the Food and Drug Administration to make those claims.

Founder and CEO of UVO, dermatologist Bobby Awadalla, M.D., says the company’s preliminary testing, similar to what the FDA requires for sunscreens, found that drinking UVO protected against sunburn for at least 3 hours. He would not supply us with the study, however, saying that he wanted to publish the research, and could not if he released the results.

Consumer Reports did not test UVO, so we can’t verify the company’s claims. Research suggests that some of the individual ingredients in the drink may offer UV protection, but we know of no independent study of UVO or its combination of ingredients. Even if the product does what it claims, protection would be modest at best: It can’t replace sunscreen. Awadalla said he agrees with that, but based on the company’s marketing, a consumer could easily assume otherwise. There is no mention of using sunscreen on the bottle, and though the warning “should not be used as the only source of sun protection” is on the company’s website, it is not prominently displayed. Our advice: Skip UVO and other supplements like it.
All sunscreens should live up to the SPF claim on their labels—the Food and Drug Administration requires it. But for four years straight, we found that many sunscreens in our tests fall short.

We crunched the data from four years of our sunscreen testing—104 products in all—to see how well sunscreens in general protect you against the sun’s UVB rays. Our findings were troubling, especially when it came to mineral products—those that contain only titanium dioxide, zinc oxide, or both as active ingredients.

The FDA doesn’t routinely test sunscreens; it requires the manufacturers to test their products. But in most cases the companies don’t have to submit their results, just keep them on hand in case the FDA asks to see them. What’s more, companies only have to test a sunscreen on people when a product rolls out or is reformulated.

Consumers have a right to receive the level of protection they expect. We’re submitting our four-year results to the FDA and asking that it review its sunscreen requirements and investigate further.

In our tests almost half of the products failed to meet their SPF claim after water immersion—despite the fact that all featured claims of water resistance. And if you trust your skin to mineral products, you’re taking a greater chance: the mineral-only sunscreens performed far worse than the chemical formulations.

When we separated the sunscreens into categories based on the claimed SPF, we found that a significant percentage tested below the number on the bottle. That was true at every SPF level. So your best bet is to pick a recommended sunscreen from our Ratings. But if you can’t, choosing a chemical sunscreen with an SPF of 40 or higher will give you a better chance of getting at least an SPF 30, the minimum level many dermatologists recommend.

A RELIABLE NUMBER

Percentage of sunscreens that tested SPF 30 or higher
How we test sunscreens. To check for UVB protection, a standard amount of each sunscreen is applied to small areas of our panelists’ backs. Then they soak in a tub of water. Afterward, each of those areas is exposed to six intensities of UVB light from a sun simulator for a set time. About a day later, the six spots are examined for redness. The resulting UVB ratings reflect each product’s actual effectiveness after water immersion. (The tested sunscreens carry a claim of water resistance for 40 or 80 minutes.) Tested SPF is based on the average results for each sunscreen, not how close a sunscreen comes to meeting its SPF claim, and is used to calculate our UVB scores. To test for UVA, we smear sunscreen on plastic plates and pass UV light through and measure the amount of UVA and UVB rays that are absorbed. That information is then used to calculate our UVA score.
The ABCs of SPF. The sun protection factor (SPF) is a relative measure of how long a product will protect you from UVB rays, the chief cause of sunburn. Assuming you use it correctly, if you'd burn after 20 minutes in the sun, an SPF 30 protects for about 10 hours. But intensity and wavelength distribution of UVB rays vary throughout the day and by location. And that calculation does not apply to UVA rays, which contribute to wrinkles and skin cancer. That's why you need a broad-spectrum sunscreen that provides protection against both types. However, no sunscreen blocks 100 percent of UVA or UVB rays.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>RANK</td>
<td>BRAND &amp; PRODUCT</td>
<td>COST PER OZ. ($)</td>
<td>CONTENTS (OZ.)</td>
<td>PRICE PER PACKAGE ($)</td>
<td>CLAIMED SPF</td>
<td>TESTED SPF</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td>UVB (SPF)</td>
<td>SCORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. SPRAYS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trader Joe's Spray SPF 50+</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>50+</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Banana Boat SunComfort Continuous Spray SPF 50+</td>
<td>$1.67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>50+</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neutrogena Beach Defense Water + Sun Protection SPF 70</td>
<td>$1.62</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Caribbean Breeze Continuous Tropical Mist SPF 70</td>
<td>$2.77</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$16.60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Equate Sport Continuous Spray SPF 30 (Walmart)</td>
<td>$0.83</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$4.98</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>DG Body Sport SPF 30 (Dollar General)</td>
<td>$0.88</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$5.25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rite Aid Renewal Sport SPF 30+</td>
<td>$1.33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>CVS Sport Clear Spray SPF 30</td>
<td>$1.42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$8.50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Banana Boat Sport Performance with Power Stay Technology SPF 100</td>
<td>$1.67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No-Ad Sport Spray SPF 30</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Up &amp; Up Sport SPF 30 (Target)</td>
<td>$0.83</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hawaiian Tropic Island Sport Ultra Light SPF 30</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Australian Gold Continuous Clear 30</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Neutrogena Wet Skin SPF 30</td>
<td>$1.90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Banana Boat Sport Performance CoolZone SPF 30</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Banana Boat Sport Performance Clear UltraMist with Powerstay Technology SPF 50</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>50+</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Goddess Garden Organics Everyday Natural 30</td>
<td>$3.67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>EltaMD UV Aero SPF 45</td>
<td>$4.67</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. STICK SUNSCREENS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Coppertone Kids Stick SPF 55</td>
<td>$9.17</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$5.50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Up &amp; Up Kids Stick SPF 55 (Target)</td>
<td>$5.83</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neutrogena Beach Defense Water + Sun Protection Stick SPF 50+</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>50+</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Banana Boat Ultra Defense Stick SPF 50</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>$5.50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. FACIAL SUNSCREENS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Avon Sun+ Sunscreen Face Lotion SPF 40</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Up &amp; Up Ultra Sheer SPF 30 (Target)</td>
<td>$1.73</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$5.20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neutrogena Clear Face SPF 55</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rite Aid Renewal Oil Free Faces SPF 30</td>
<td>$2.43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$7.30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Neutrogena Clear Face SPF 30</td>
<td>$3.83</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CVS Breakout Free Face SPF 30</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SkinCeuticals Physical Fusion UV Defense SPF 50</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>$34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Meets Claim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>CeraVe Face Lotion SPF 30</td>
<td>$5.60</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Pure Sun Defense SPF 50 Disney Frozen is one model of this product. Other models include Avengers, Despicable Me, Spiderman, and other cartoon characters, which should have similar performance. 2 Contains retinol or retinyl palmitate, which pregnant women may want to avoid. 3 Contains only the mineral active ingredients titanium dioxide, zinc oxide, or both.
CONSIDER THE LIFE OF A GRILL. It stands outside, exposed to the elements, sometimes year-round. When the grill works, it’s the life of the party. But when grease builds up, spiders haunt the burner tubes, and corrosion kicks in, the party’s over.

Of course, you’ll never find “Great while it lasts!” emblazoned on a grill box. So we asked almost 16,000 subscribers about the reliability of their grills to learn which brands are in it for the long haul and which are likely to break down after just a summer fling or two (see “Grills That Go the Distance,” on page 37). We also asked almost 7,000 subscribers how satisfied they are with their grills. Turn to page 35 to see how the brands stack up. (Hint: None of them did terribly.)

If this is the year to haul your “old reliable” to the curb and shop for a new model, you’ll want to know the differences between grills that cost $300 and the ones that cost $1,000 and more. We spell it all out for you on page 32 with a lineup of top grills in a variety of prices.

Every great griller deserves great tools. On page 34 we present a few that will help you expand your culinary repertoire (pizza, anyone?), boost your grill’s performance, and prepare food safely.

We also tested two hybrid grills—which allow you to cook with both gas and charcoal—and we report the surprising findings of our expert taste testers on the question of whether cooking with charcoal makes a tastier burger than cooking with gas. We even tested a quick-assemble grill to see whether it lives up to its claim of being ready for action just 10 minutes out of the box. Summertime is short enough as it is.
Your Ultimate Summer Survival Guide
GRATE EXPECTATIONS

Grills can start at less than $300 and run to more than $1,000. Here’s a guide to common features in three price ranges.

$300 OR LESS

Inexpensive portable, small, and midsized grills don’t have all the bells and whistles of high-priced models, but many can still get the job done.

**WHAT YOU GET.** Usually, a shorter (one- to five-year) warranty on burners, the part that’s most likely to fail. Stainless-steel or coated cast-iron grates are both good for searing and maintaining even temperatures, but stainless are more durable. An electronic igniter is usually more convenient than a rotary or push-button type, though not every grill at this price will have one.

**SHOPPING TIP.** Construction may not be top-notch. Nudge grill from several points to test its sturdiness. Look for sharp edges. Lift grates to see if they’re heavy-duty or flimsy.

$450 TO $1,000

Midrange grills come in small, medium, and large sizes, and can deliver impressive performance. They also tend to be sturdier than less expensive models.

**WHAT YOU GET.** Expect heavy-duty stainless or cast-iron grates and a side burner for, say, sautéing onions or simmering sauce. Most have an electronic igniter and burner warranties of 10 years or more, and come on four wheels or casters for easy moving. Some come with a fuel gauge, a nice extra that reminds you when it’s time for a refill.

**SHOPPING TIP.** Check the manufacturer’s specs on the weight limit of the side burner, so you know how heavy a pot it can hold. Grip the handle to check for clearance: You won’t want your knuckles to be too close to a hot lid.

A MIDSIZED MODEL FOR EVEN GRILLING

Nexgrill
720-0830H $270
RATING 77

SMALL IN SIZE, BIG IN CONVENIENCE

Weber Spirit
E-220 $450
RATING 71

Scan any page in this section using the Blippar app to shop for grills and for videos on grilling, grill maintenance, and more (see page 7 for details).
$1,000 AND UP

These pricey performers are usually stainless steel and sold at specialty stores and on the websites of big-box stores.

**WHAT YOU GET.** Expect high-quality stainless, the heaviest-duty grates (stainless steel or coated cast iron), and burner warranties of 10 years or longer. Features may include searing burners; rotisserie burners with motorized spit; LED lights inside the grill, so you can flip your burgers in the dark; and a pullout tray for the propane tank.

**SHOPPING TIP.** Certain grills allow you to use natural gas. Others offer a conversion kit for $50 to $100. Once hooked up to your natural gas line, there’s no need for propane tanks and no running out of gas, but the grill will be less mobile. You’ll also need a pro to run the gas line.

Assembling a new grill right out of the box usually involves wrestling with several unwieldy parts, squinting one’s way through an indecipherable set of instructions, searching fruitlessly for at least one missing screw, and letting loose with some hair-raising swear words. (Small children, steer clear.) So when we saw that Hamilton Beach describes its $500 Quick Assembly Grill (84341) as a “snap” to set up “in about 10 minutes,” we considered testing that claim an act of public service.

We bought two of the midsized grills and gave one each to Consumer Reports’ Rich Handel, who has assembled dozens of grills as an assistant project leader in our test laboratories, and editor Dan DiClerico—a whiz with words but not widgets. (A third staffer stood by to help out when instructions called for it.)

Neither of our test subjects was able to put the grill together in 10 minutes—not even close. Rich’s grill was ready for action in 18 minutes; Dan took a respectable half-hour to finish his. (Those times don’t include the roughly 10 minutes spent unpacking the grill, reading the manual, or watching the brief video Hamilton Beach recommends.)

A Hamilton Beach rep said the company stands by its 10-minute assembly claim. **BOTTOM LINE.** Though the grill did not meet the 10-minute claim in our test, we don’t dispute that it’s relatively quick to assemble. In fact, it took half the time, start to finish, that it takes to put together similarly sized grills that don’t make the “snap” claim.

The Hamilton Beach preheats fast but didn’t do well in our tests for even heating. It earned a rating of 57.

Weber Summit
E-670 $2,500
RATING 68

Napoleon Legend
LA400 $1,000
RATING 71

**START YOUR FUN IN A SNAP?**

We put a quick-assemble grill to the test.

**Assembling** a new grill right out of the box usually involves wrestling with several unwieldy parts, squinting one’s way through an indecipherable set of instructions, searching fruitlessly for at least one missing screw, and letting loose with some hair-raising swear words. (Small children, steer clear.) So when we saw that Hamilton Beach describes its $500 Quick Assembly Grill (84341) as a “snap” to set up “in about 10 minutes,” we considered testing that claim an act of public service.

We bought two of the midsized grills and gave one each to Consumer Reports’ Rich Handel, who has assembled dozens of grills as an assistant project leader in our test laboratories, and editor Dan DiClerico—a whiz with words but not widgets. (A third staffer stood by to help out when instructions called for it.)

Neither of our test subjects was able to put the grill together in 10 minutes—not even close. Rich’s grill was ready for action in 18 minutes; Dan took a respectable half-hour to finish his. (Those times don’t include the roughly 10 minutes spent unpacking the grill, reading the manual, or watching the brief video Hamilton Beach recommends.)

A Hamilton Beach rep said the company stands by its 10-minute assembly claim.

**BOTTOM LINE.** Though the grill did not meet the 10-minute claim in our test, we don’t dispute that it’s relatively quick to assemble. In fact, it took half the time, start to finish, that it takes to put together similarly sized grills that don’t make the “snap” claim.

The Hamilton Beach preheats fast but didn’t do well in our tests for even heating. It earned a rating of 57.

Go to ConsumerReports.org/quickgrill to see Rich and Dan in action. Don’t worry, it’s time-lapse photography, so it’s a short video.
Digital Meat Thermometers $20 to $40
Eliminate guesswork behind cooking meat thoroughly. Among the instant-read models we tested, the $20 ThermoWorks Pocket RT600C is easy to use and offers fast, consistently accurate readings and auto-shutoff. The $40 Oregon Scientific Wireless BBQ/Oven AW131 (shown) is designed to be left in the meat while it cooks. It can be read on a smartphone from more than 100 feet away and offers impressive, consistent accuracy and handy features such as a timer, audible alert, and auto-shutoff.

BakerStone Pizza Oven Box $120
For grills with three or more burners, place this big, 27-pound, porcelain-enamed steel box on top of the grates. The box houses a stone cooking chamber that concentrates heat to cook the pizza’s top and bottom. We preheated the box for 30 minutes and baked eight fresh 12-inch pizzas in quick succession. They took only about 4 minutes each to bake (and were eaten almost as quickly by testers).

GrillGrates $85
These interlocking panels made of anodized aluminum sit atop a grill’s grates. They’re meant to keep temperatures even across the grill and block flare-ups that char food. When we tested them, the grates improved evenness on one grill but not the other, so performance depends on the grill. Flare-ups were reduced when grilling fish but less so with burgers. Price is for a four-pack, which covers a midsized grill’s cooking area.

Grill Baskets and Pizza Stones $15 to $80
Look for a grill basket with small holes to let juices drain so that vegetables won’t get soggy and shrimp won’t fall through. White pizza stones are tougher to keep clean than dark ones, but all got very hot (for crispy crusts) in our tests and need careful monitoring to prevent pizza from burning.

Sure, you can cook a stellar steak without any of these accessories. But with the versatility and safety they add to your outdoor culinary creations, why would you want to?

COOK WITH CONFIDENCE

It doesn’t matter how beautifully browned your burger looks: If it’s not cooked properly, it could make you sick. Be sure to:

▶ Wash hands, countertops, cutting boards, plates, platters, and utensils with hot, soapy water after they’re exposed to raw meat.
▶ Cook ground beef to 160° F, poultry to 165° F (check with a meat thermometer). Rare or medium-rare burgers don’t reach a temperature high enough to kill harmful bacteria.
▶ Steaks that have been mechanically tenderized (check the label) should always be cooked to 160° F. The tenderizing process can drive surface bacteria deep into the meat, making it more difficult to kill.
▶ Eating heavily charred food can expose you to potentially cancer-causing compounds. Using a marinade may reduce the risk.
We surveyed almost 7,000 subscribers to find out how satisfied they were with their grill overall and with its grilling performance, durability, ease of cleaning, and appearance after about a year of use. Here’s how the results stacked up.

Reader Score. A score of 100 means survey respondents were completely satisfied; 80 means very satisfied; 60, fairly well satisfied. Differences in reader scores of fewer than 4 points are not meaningful. Average score was 79 across all brands. Scores for grilling performance, durability, ease of cleaning, and appearance are relative and reflect averages on a scale from completely satisfied to completely dissatisfied.

**KEY**

| ★★★ | ★★ | ★ | ✗ | ✗ ✗ |
| BEST | - | WORST |
Hybrid Grills: Doing Double Duty

Gas-grill loyalists want to fire up fast; charcoal fans say nothing beats the flavor of cooking over briquettes. We tested two grills that let you do both.

Char-Broil Gas2Coal Hybrid
463340516 $300

An insert converts the gas firebox for charcoal use.

RATING 66

BRICK VS. GAS: THE TASTE-TEST SHOWDOWN

Die-hard fans of charcoal say it delivers superior taste. To find out, we had five of our food pros do side-by-side blind taste tests of gas-grilled and charcoal-grilled hamburger patties. They concluded that the flavor differences were negligible and would disappear once the burger was on a bun with toppings. Of course, for the unmistakable summer scent of charcoal smoke, there’s only one way to go.

Char-Broil Gas2Coal

This midsized grill allows you to cook with either gas or charcoal in the same firebox but not both at the same time. Igniting the charcoal is easy using the gas burners.

GAS PERFORMANCE. The grill surface can hold between 18 and 28 burgers. Preheating was excellent: After 10 minutes we tossed on burgers that displayed a serious sizzle. But temperature evenness wasn’t stellar, so you’ll need
to move food around to cook it evenly. Indirect cooking and temperature range were very good, making this a versatile cooker.

**CHARCOAL PERFORMANCE.** It gets the job done, but with some compromises. The charcoal tray reduces the grilling area to the size of a small grill’s. Heat was uniform across the grill surface, but charcoal didn’t get the grill as hot as gas did, and the temperature started to drop about 30 minutes after the coals were ready. The tray holds just one layer of charcoal, and you can’t adjust its height. So cook fast and keep an eye on the food.

**Dyna-Glo Dual Fuel**
This grill has two fireboxes of equal size—one for charcoal and the other for gas. They can be used simultaneously.

**GAS PERFORMANCE.** Its small grilling surface can fit fewer than 18 burgers. After preheating for 10 minutes, it wasn’t hot enough, so a longer preheat is required. Heat was even across the surface, but the temperature range is narrow, so this grill is not as versatile as the Char-Broil. Indirect cooking was impressive.

**CHARCOAL PERFORMANCE.** Now we’re talking. The firebox is the kind used in a typical charcoal grill, including air vents, and the height of the charcoal tray can be adjusted. Like with the Gas2Coal grill, the cooking area is small. To fire up, use lighter fluid, an electric lighter, or a charcoal chimney. The charcoal produced even heating across the grill surface, though it didn’t get as hot as with gas. The temperature began to drop about 30 minutes after the coals were ready, but more charcoal can be added while cooking, unlike with the Char-Broil.

**Reliability Survey**
In our survey of 15,931 grill owners, no single brand stood out as the most or least reliable, but Coleman, Weber, and Broil King are less repair-prone than Char-Griller, Kenmore, and Member’s Mark (sold at Sam’s Club). Based on our survey, we estimate that 19 percent of Kenmore grills will need repairs or have serious problems within three years after purchase; we estimate that 23 percent of Member’s Mark grills will need repairs after three years. Given those findings, we cannot recommend those two brands.

**Percent Likely to Need Repair After 3 Years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grill</th>
<th>Probability (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member’s Mark</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenmore</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Char-Griller</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Char-Broil</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broilmaster</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napoleon</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broil King</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Consumer Reports’ 2015 Annual Grills Survey of subscribers who bought a new grill between 2010 and 2015. Differences of fewer than 7 points aren’t meaningful, and models within a brand may vary.
**RATINGS: Gas Grills: The Heat is On**

Of the 151 gas grills we bought and tested, the highest scored 77; the lowest, 26. Below are the highest-scoring grills in order of performance in each category. Similar models appear next to tested grills, and we expect them to perform comparably. We don’t recommend Kenmore and Member’s Mark grills, given their high repair rate. For full Ratings, available to online subscribers, go to ConsumerReports.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CR Best Buy</th>
<th>Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>TEST RESULTS</th>
<th>FEATURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evenness Performance</td>
<td>Preheat Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nexgrill 720-0830H (Home Depot)</td>
<td>$270</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nexgrill Deluxe 720-0896B (Home Depot)</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenmore Elite 33577</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmann 42172</td>
<td>$460</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napoleon Legend LA400 Series LA400RSIBPSS</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber Spirit E-330</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber Evolution Infrared Plus 720-0882A (Home Depot)</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napoleon Mirage M48SRSEB</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
<td>• • • • • • • • • •</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scan any page in this section using the Blippar app to shop for grills and for videos on grilling, grill maintenance, and more (see page 7 for details).
### Recommended

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Brand &amp; Model</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### LARGE (ROOM FOR 28 OR MORE BURGERS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Brand &amp; Model</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kenmore 16156</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Napoleon Prestige Pro 669RSIB</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kenmore Elite 700 Series 3358</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kenmore PG-40611SSOL</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Char-Broil Advantage 463240115 [Item #806674] (Lowe’s)</td>
<td>$370</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Napoleon Mirage M730RSBIPSS</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Weber Summit E-670</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>KitchenAid 720-0893</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>KitchenAid 720-0856</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Broil King Baron 5905 923584</td>
<td>$850</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SMALL (ROOM FOR 18 OR FEWER BURGERS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Brand &amp; Model</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Huntington 63012A 630014</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>Push-Button</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weber Spirit E-220 46310001</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Broil-Mate 165154</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Huntington 665154</td>
<td>$190</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Napoleon Terrace SE325PK</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fiesta 24025</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>Push-Button</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Char-Broil Commercial Tru-Infrared 463642316 [Item #748075] (Lowe’s)</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Backyard Grill BY16-101-002-05 (Walmart)</td>
<td>$130</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Beefeeater Discovery Plus 4 Burners</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Member’s Mark Patio Grill GR2001402-MM (Sam’s Club)</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Master Cook SRGG61401</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PORTABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Brand &amp; Model</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cuisinart CGG-306</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weber Q 2200 54060001</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cuisinart CGG-200</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Weber Q 1000 50060001</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Char-Broil Tru-Infrared Grill2Go X200 12401734</td>
<td>$110</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>North American Outdoors BB12919G</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Similar to the tested Kenmore 23683, now discontinued. 2 Similar to the tested Kenmore 16136, now discontinued. 3 Similar to the tested Broil King Baron 590 963584, now discontinued.
INSECT REPELLENTS IN THE AGE OF ZIKA

Our new tests identify what works and what doesn’t against the bugs that can spread the virus and other serious diseases

BY JENEEN INTERLANDI

Repel Lemon Eucalyptus (30%) $7.50

This is the only plant-oil-based repellent that scored among the top three products in our tests. The other two top-scoring repellents were Sawyer Picaridin 20% (score: 96) and Ben’s 30% Deet Tick & Insect Wilderness Formula (score: 93).

RATING 87

Scan this page using the Blippar app for a video on the insect repellents that protect against Zika (see page 7 for details).

PHOTOGRAPH BY JAMIE CHUNG
**WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT ZIKA**

As mosquito season peaks, researchers are racing to answer many questions about Zika. Why do some people who are bitten develop symptoms but not others? If a pregnant woman is infected, what’s the likelihood that she’ll pass the virus to her fetus? Are Zika-infected babies born without microcephaly at risk for other developmental delays later in life? Here are some things we do know:

Local transmission—when mosquitoes are infected and spread the disease—is already a reality in Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and American Samoa. Experts don’t anticipate an epidemic on the U.S. mainland, but they do expect local outbreaks, especially in poorer communities and along the Gulf Coast. The scope of those outbreaks will depend on how contagious the disease proves to be, how fast people develop immunity to it, and how swiftly mosquito-control measures are put in place.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention advises women of childbearing age to avoid Zika-affected countries if they can. They should also take several precautions if they must travel there or if they live in an affected area.

Zika can be transmitted sexually, so if you’re pregnant, use condoms every time for all forms of sex, or consider abstaining for the duration of the pregnancy. If you’re hoping to conceive, wait eight weeks (six months for men) after possible exposure before having unprotected sex.

If you go to a Zika hot spot, use repellent for at least three weeks after returning to prevent local mosquitoes from picking up the virus from you and passing it on.

**The Best Repellents**

We looked at 16 repellents with a range of active ingredients, including conventional chemicals like deet, synthetic plantlike compounds that resemble those found in nature, and plant oils like citronella and rosemary.

Three insect repellents qualified as Consumer Reports Top Picks: Sawyer Picaridin (20%), Ben's 30% Deet Tick & Insect Wilderness Formula, and Repel Lemon Eucalyptus (30%). Once applied, they were able to ward off Aedes mosquitoes, which spread Zika, and Culex mosquitoes, which spread West Nile, and the ticks that carry Lyme. Here’s what we found:

**ILLUSTRATIONS BY MICHAEL BRANDON MYERS**
Effective bug avoidance requires more than repellent.

**YOUR CLOTHES** Wear socks with close-toed shoes; loose, long sleeves and pants (mosquitoes can bite through tight clothes), and avoid dark colors (light-colored clothes make it easier to spot ticks). Tuck your shirt into your pants and pants into your socks. Shower when you get home, then inspect your skin and use tweezers to remove ticks. For extra safety, toss clothes into a dryer on high heat to kill stragglers.

**YOUR HOUSE** Make sure door and window screens are hole-free. Use an air conditioner or fan if you can.

**YOUR DECK** Our tests last year of two area repellents—citronella candles and a battery-powered diffuser that blows out geraniol—showed they were ineffective at keeping mosquitoes away. But an oscillating pedestal fan on high cut mosquito landings by 45 to 65 percent, at least among people close to it.

**YOUR YARD** To prevent mosquitoes from breeding, clear ivy and leaves, and keep water from pooling in gutters, tires, wheelbarrows, wading pools, and swimming pool covers. Ticks prefer tall grass and shade, so keep your lawn mowed, remove leaves and debris, and let as much sun into your yard as possible. Consider fencing your property to keep out deer and other animals that carry ticks. And check your cats and dogs for ticks, and use repellents approved for pets.

---

**MOSQUITO-BORNE DISEASES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHIKUNGUNYA</th>
<th>DENGUE</th>
<th>WEST NILE</th>
<th>ZIKA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>How Common?</strong></td>
<td>No local cases on U.S. mainland in 2015; 202 cases in U.S. territories.</td>
<td>211 local cases in 2015; 46 cases in U.S. territories.</td>
<td>No local cases on the U.S. mainland as of May 2016; 596 cases in U.S. territories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptoms?</strong></td>
<td>Fever, headache, and joint pain three to seven days after a bite.</td>
<td>Fever, rash, and severe eye, bone, joint, and muscle pain four to seven days after a bite.</td>
<td>Fever, rash, joint pain, and pinkeye two to 14 days after a bite.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serious Effects?</strong></td>
<td>Usually only in people with other health problems, such as diabetes or heart disease.</td>
<td>Severe damage to the body’s blood vessels leading to bleeding and sometimes death.</td>
<td>In rare cases, inflammation of the brain or surrounding tissue, which can be fatal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment?</strong></td>
<td>None. Acetaminophen and fluids to ease symptoms; no aspirin or related drugs such as ibuprofen.</td>
<td>None. Acetaminophen and fluids to ease symptoms; no aspirin or related drugs such as ibuprofen.</td>
<td>None. To ease symptoms, fluids and over-the-counter pain relievers such as aspirin, ibuprofen, or naproxen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TICK-BORNE DISEASES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BABESIOSIS</th>
<th>EHRLICHIOSIS/ANAPLASMOSIS</th>
<th>LYME</th>
<th>ROCKY MOUNTAIN SPOTTED FEVER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>How Common?</strong></td>
<td>1,759 cases in 2014.</td>
<td>4,688 cases in 2014.</td>
<td>About 300,000 cases in 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Where?</strong></td>
<td>Mostly in the Northeast and upper Midwest.</td>
<td>Mostly in the Southeast and South Central (ehrlichiosis), and the Northeast and upper Midwest (anaplasmosis).</td>
<td>Mostly in the Northeast and upper Midwest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptoms?</strong></td>
<td>Fever, chills, headache, body aches, and loss of appetite one to six weeks after a bite.</td>
<td>High fever and severe headache, fatigue, and muscle aches seven to 14 days after a bite.</td>
<td>“Bulls-eye” rash with fatigue, chills, fever, headache, and joint pain three to 30 days after a bite.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serious Effects?</strong></td>
<td>Blood clots and severe anemia, sometimes fatal.</td>
<td>Severe anemia, and severe eye, bone, joint, and muscle pain four to seven days after a bite.</td>
<td>In rare cases, difficulty breathing, bleeding disorders, and death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment?</strong></td>
<td>The antibiotic azithromycin plus the antiparasitic atovaquone or, in severe cases, the antibiotic clindamycin plus quinine.</td>
<td>The antibiotic doxycycline as soon as symptoms appear.</td>
<td>None. To ease symptoms, fluids and over-the-counter pain relievers such as aspirin, ibuprofen, or naproxen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:** All cases are for infections from insect bites in the U.S., not while traveling abroad or from sexual transmission. Mosquito-borne diseases other than Zika are those reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for 2015; Zika cases are those reported as of May 2016. Tick-borne cases other than Lyme are for 2014. Lyme cases are estimates from the CDC. The CDC says that for all diseases, reported cases are probably less than the actual number.
RATINGS: Insect Repellents That Battle Disease-Carrying Bugs

Of the 16 repellents we tested, those with 20 percent picaridin, 30 percent oil of lemon eucalyptus, or 15 to 30 percent deet worked best. We don't recommend repellents above 30 percent deet because they may pose greater risks, and lower concentrations can provide excellent protection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>Effectiveness, Aedes Mosquitoes (Hrs.)</th>
<th>Effectiveness, Culex Mosquitoes (Hrs.)</th>
<th>Effectiveness, Deer Ticks (Hrs.)</th>
<th>Active Ingredients</th>
<th>Tips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sawyer Picaridin</td>
<td>$8.25</td>
<td>$2.06</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Picaridin 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben's 30% Deet Tick &amp; Insect Wilderness Formula</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1.33</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Deet 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repel Lemon Eucalyptus</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
<td>$1.88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oil of lemon eucalyptus 30% (Approx. 65% p-menthane-3,8-diol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repel Scented Family</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$1.08</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Deet 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natrapel 8 Hour</td>
<td>$9</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Picaridin 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Deepwoods VIII</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td>$1.63</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Deet 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman SkinSmart</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$1.33</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3-ON-Butyl-acetyl-d-amino propionic acid ethyl ester IR3535 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon Skin-So-Soft Bug Guard plus Picaridin</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Picaridin 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutter Skinsations</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$0.75</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Deet 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMS Bite Blocker BioUD Mini Trigger</td>
<td>$8.95</td>
<td>$1.49</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2-Undecanone (CAS # 112-12-9) 7.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutter Natural</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$0.83</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Geraniol 5%, soybean oil 2%, sodium lauryl sulfate 0.4%, potassium sorbate 0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burt’s Bees Herbal</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Castor oil 10%, rosemary oil 3.77%, lemongrass oil 2.83%, cedar oil 0.94%, peppermint oil 0.76%, citronella oil 0.57%, clove oil 0.38%, geranium oil 0.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Terrain Kids Herbal Armor</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Oil of soybean 11.5%, oil of citronella 10%, oil of peppermint 2%, oil of cedar 1.5%, oil of lemongrass 1%, oil of geranium 0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Baby Natural Bug Blend</td>
<td>$15.80</td>
<td>$2.43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pure essential oils of cymbopogon nardus (citronella) 5%, cymbopogon schoenanthus (lemongrass) 0.5%, cedrus atlantica (cedar) 0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off FamilyCare II Clean Feel</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Picaridin 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcoSmart Organic</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$1.17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Geraniol 1.0%, rosemary oil 0.5%, cinnamon oil 0.5%, lemongrass oil 0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DO ‘NATURAL’ REPELLENTS WORK?

Many of them don’t, so choose carefully.

It might seem like a good idea, especially if you’re pregnant or hoping to be: Choose a "natural" bug repellent. But five of the six plant-oil-based repellents we tested lasted an hour or less against Aedes mosquitoes, the kind than can spread Zika. The exception was Repel Lemon Eucalyptus (30%), which warded off Aedes mosquitoes for 7 hours. Several other repellents also did well and are safe for pregnant women: those with 20 percent picaridin (a synthetic compound resembling a chemical in the black pepper plant) and those with 15 to 30 percent deet.

But even those products can cause side effects, especially if you apply too much or too often. For example, they can all cause rashes and skin irritation, and deet may cause disorientation. So use all repellents with care. Apply just enough to cover exposed skin. Don't use it on wounds or broken skin, or under clothes. For your face, spray it on your palms, then rub it in, avoiding your eyes and mouth. Adults should put it on children.
THE WAY WE LISTEN to music is changing at, well, the speed of sound.

Only about a decade ago, most of us were still buying CDs that we played on home sound systems rigged together by a snake of wires that we tucked out of view behind our entertainment centers.

Today, CDs are going the way of LPs, replaced by music that’s downloaded or streamed (often to our smartphones), giving us almost unlimited choices at home, in the car, or wherever else we go.

Even our audio equipment is shedding its ties, relying more on Wi-Fi and Bluetooth connections than cumbersome cords. According to the Consumer Technology Association, sales of wireless speakers rose almost 40 percent in 2015.

Amazon introduced what turned out to be a game changer last year with its cloud-connected Echo. It comes with a built-in personal assistant named Alexa, a sort of genie-in-a-speaker that can read you a recipe, relay a weather report, and play any song from your Prime or Spotify list, whether it’s “Can’t Feel My Face” by The Weeknd or “Sunny Afternoon” by the Kinks.

Wireless headphones are growing in popularity as well, in part because of new features like rugged and water-resistant designs.

On the following pages you’ll find our recommendations for the best headphones and wireless speakers for home and away. We even provide a playlist to use when you’re shopping for audio components, and a critical look at some of the most popular streaming music services. It’s everything you’ll need to start your summer off on a high note.

With the right speakers and headphones, you can move the party outdoors—come rain or shine. And to put together the perfect playlist, check out our guide to the best music streaming services.

BY TERRY SULLIVAN
2 | UE Megaboom wireless speaker $300

In addition to basic black, this artfully designed stereo speaker comes in red, blue, and plum—making it easy to spot amid your other gear. It delivers good sound quality and pairs easily with a smartphone, tablet, or laptop via Bluetooth. The manufacturer says it’s waterproof, so feel free to bring it with you on that next whitewater rafting trip.
RATING 87

3 | Scosche SportClip 3 headphones $30

If you want very good sound at a very nice price, look no further. Billed as splashproof and dustproof, these headphones have ear hooks to hold them firmly in place, even when you’re pounding down a trail on your mountain bike. It’s a small detail, but we like the carrying bag, too, which keeps the wires from getting tangled up in your backpack.
RATING 76
1 | Definitive Technology Symphony 1 Executive headphones $400

Noise-canceling tech and an over-the-ear design make these wireless headphones excellent at blocking out the world beyond your airplane seat. The very good sound quality they deliver is one more reason you’ll want to pack them.
RATING 74

2 | Bose SoundLink Color wireless speaker $130

This compact speaker is a great buy. Available in five cheerful colors, it stows easily and delivers good sound quality for up to 8 hours on a charge. It also packs a punch powerful enough to fill a beachside cabana with a summer soundtrack.
RATING 60

3 | Libratone Zipp Mini wireless speaker $250

This speaker delivers good sound in a great many ways. Unlike other portable models that connect only to a music source via Bluetooth, the Zipp Mini lets you use Wi-Fi for a stronger signal. It also offers iPod docking and analog audio inputs. And if you tire of the cover, six more options are available.
RATING 53

4 | Phiaton BT 100 NC headphones $100

The collar on these headphones conceals Bluetooth technology that lets you pair the unit wirelessly with a mobile device. That means no more getting tangled up in wires. And the noise-canceling technology comes in handy when the couple with the toddler boards your cross-country flight and sits across the aisle.
RATING 69

SLACKER
COST: Free one-month trial; $4 or $8/month.

BEST FOR: Curated and on-demand music.

WHAT WE LIKE: The extensive library and easy-to-use interface let you create tailor-made stations of your favorite music. There are also more than 300 expert-curated stations devoted to specific musical genres, sports, news, and weather. The pricier plan grants you offline listening and personal playlists as well.

WHAT WE DON’T LIKE: Slacker’s free service gives you less say in the selection of songs, though you can skip past the duds a limited number of times.
FULL STREAM AHEAD

Music services like Spotify and Tidal make millions of songs from every musical genre instantly available—free or for a small subscription fee. How is a domestic DJ to choose? Here’s a guide to the major players.

APPLE MUSIC

COST: Free three-month trial; $10/month.
BEST FOR: Music on the go.
WHAT WE LIKE: Apple’s service, with 30 million songs (available on iOS and Android devices), is a good choice if you’re a frequent traveler. It lets you download songs to fill those seemingly endless hours between Wi-Fi hot spots. It also offers exclusive content from artists like Taylor Swift, Beats 1 radio (curated by real DJs), and Apple radio, as well as Siri’s voice commands, and videos and music uploaded from your personal library.
WHAT WE DON’T LIKE: Oddly enough, the app design and navigation tools are less intuitive than we would expect from Apple.

PANDORA

COST: Free with ads or $5/month ad-free.
BEST FOR: Listening to your favorite artists and discovering new ones.
WHAT WE LIKE: It’s like having a personal DJ. Tell Pandora the artist you want to hear and it creates a channel with selections from that artist and others with similar styles. It’s a terrific way to be introduced to new music from the service’s 1.5-million title library.
WHAT WE DON’T LIKE: You can’t use Pandora to choose specific songs by an artist, upload your own tunes, create playlists, or listen to music offline.

PRIME MUSIC

COST: Free to Amazon Prime members ($39/year).
BEST FOR: Those Amazon Prime members.
WHAT WE LIKE: This ad-free, on-demand service lets you listen to audio books purchased from Amazon’s Audible service. When paired with Amazon’s Echo, you can summon songs with a voice command. (“Alexa, play the Rolling Stones’ ‘Tumbling Dice.’”) Best of all, it’s included with a Prime subscription.
WHAT WE DON’T LIKE: With about 1 million songs in its catalog, it has a thinner selection of music and audiobooks than some competing services.

SLACKER

COST: Free one-month trial; $4 or $8/month.
BEST FOR: Curated and on-demand music.
WHAT WE LIKE: The extensive library and easy-to-use interface let you create tailor-made stations of your favorite music. There are also more than 300 expert-curated stations for specific musical genres, sports, news, and weather. The pricier plan grants you offline listening and personal playlists as well.
WHAT WE DON’T LIKE: Slacker’s free service gives you less say in the selection of songs, though you can skip past the duds a limited number of times.

SPOTIFY

COST: Free with ads or $10/month ad-free.
BEST FOR: Anyone looking for a versatile service with a large catalog of titles.
WHAT WE LIKE: With a library of more than 30 million songs and easy access via most digital platforms, Spotify is popular for good reason. It’s a great place to find favorite artists, create and share playlists, and enjoy exclusive live sessions. You also get better sound quality with the paid plan.
WHAT WE DON’T LIKE: You can’t listen to Prince’s “Purple Rain.” (But hey, it’s only available on Tidal.) Spotify doesn’t offer music videos, either.

TIDAL

COST: Free one-week trial; $10/month ($20/month for hi-fi).
BEST FOR: High-quality audio and offline listening.
WHAT WE LIKE: It has CD-quality sound, HD music videos, and excellent app compatibility with Denon, Sonos, and other multiroom speaker models.
WHAT WE DON’T LIKE: $20 per month is steep even for most audio snobs, those high-quality audio files can quickly devour small cellular data plans, and though its rap and R&B offerings are comprehensive, the rest of its catalog can be spotty compared with some competitors.

INSIGHTS

It takes more than a good set of ears to identify great headphones and speakers. The pros in our testing labs shared some musical tracks to use when looking for the qualities found in top-notch equipment.

“WHOLE Lotta LOVE” by Led Zeppelin, from “The Best of Led Zeppelin, Volume I”
On excellent equipment, you’ll notice the echo throughout the song that most people never hear; the whirring sound midway through the track seems to swirl around you.

“THE WAY I AM” by Ingrid Michaelson, from “Girls and Boys.”
In this arrangement, you should be able to hear the sound of fingers moving between lines, and even her lips opening and closing as she forms words.

“TRAVELIN’ LIGHT” by Diane Schuur, from “Diane Schuur and the Count Basie Orchestra.”
Listen carefully to this live recording and you can actually hear the vocals and the horns resound off the walls of the performance space.

“RV315 L’ESTATE ILL TEMPO IMPETUOSO D’ESTATE (SUMMER IN G)” by Fabio Biondi, Europa Galant on “Le Quattro Stagioni (Vivaldi—The Four Seasons).”
The strings in this Vivaldi piece are being played at breakneck speed, but on quality equipment, each note will lack definition.

“SIN WAGON” by Dixie Chicks, from “Fly.”
On better equipment, the guitar, fiddle, and cymbals in this busy arrangement will each be easy to hear. On lesser models, each instrument will lack definition.

ILLUSTRATION BY MICHAEL BRANDON MYERS
1 | Sonos
Play:5 (2015)
wiredless speaker $500

Easy to use, this Sonos can be linked to a wireless, multiroom sound system and to a Sonos 5.1-channel surround-sound system in your home theater. On its own, it delivers very good sound. Pair it with a second Play:5 and the quality bumps right up to excellent.
RATING 73

2 | Marshall
Kilburn wireless speaker $300

Guitar players may recognize this speaker’s design, inspired by the company’s renowned line of amps. The Kilburn produces good sound and gets high marks for simplicity. It has two buttons to help with Bluetooth pairing and audio-source selection, and the three knobs on top (for bass, treble, and volume) have a satisfying tactile feel.
RATING 60

3 | Brando
Prestige SR325e headphones $295

Because of the retro look, this model has a certain hipster appeal. Don’t let that distract you from the real virtue, though—the sound. We’re not talking good quality; we’re talking excellent. And just in case you get swept away by the music, the open-air design of the earpieces allows you to hear the doorbell when the dinner guests arrive.
RATING 91

4 | Sony
SRS-X55
wireless speaker $180

As a basic black box it might lack pizzazz, but this compact, Bluetooth-compatible speaker produces good sound quality and has touch controls that are large and easy to spot. We also like the fact that the source selector is conveniently located on top of the unit, the speaker has analog audio inputs, and the whole package comes at a very nice price.
RATING 60

Scan any page in this section using the Blippar app to see our buying guides—and to shop—for headphones, wireless speakers, and more (see page 7 for details).
As speakers go, the Amazon Echo is a middle-of-the-road performer. It’s easy to use and the sound is good, but beyond that, we couldn’t find much to recommend it to the music fans who monitor our Ratings. Yet according to the research firm 1010data, it now commands almost 25 percent of the wireless-speaker market. How can that be? Well, the Echo is a lot more than a speaker. It comes with Amazon’s voice-activated digital assistant, Alexa, which can turn up the heat in your home, summon a car service, add items to your grocery list, relay last night’s baseball scores, order a pizza, and even entertain the kids with jokes. Some people may feel uncomfortable revealing so much info to the world’s biggest retailer, but many love the convenience the Echo affords. John MacFarlane, CEO of the popular speaker manufacturer Sonos, calls it “the first product to really showcase the power of voice control in the home.” And because the Echo’s platform is open to third-party developers, it adds new “skills” every week compliments of popular services like Nest, StubHub, and Uber. In addition to Amazon’s Prime Music, it supports Spotify, Pandora, and other streaming services.

This spring Amazon expanded the Echo’s reach with two new options. Much like the Echo, the $130 Tap moves comfortably from room to room, but it can also go with you to the beach thanks to a rechargeable battery that reportedly lasts up to 9 hours. To preserve that power, you have to tap the microphone button to activate Alexa, which is far less fun than just telling the device to turn off the lights or open the garage door. Another downer? The sound is just fair. For those who won’t give up the superior audio quality of, say, a Sonos speaker for Alexa’s bag of tricks, the $90 hockey-puck-shaped Echo Dot lets you add Amazon’s voice-activated assistant to the speaker of your choice via Bluetooth or audio cable. We really like the sound of that.
RATINGS: The Best of Studio-Style and Wireless Speakers and Headphones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rec. Rank</th>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>TEST RESULT</th>
<th>FEATURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>A. HOME/STUDIO-STYLE STEREO HEADPHONES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Grado Prestige SR325e</td>
<td>$295</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Grado Prestige SR225e</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 HiFiMan HE-400i</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 HiFiMan HE-400S</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Bowers &amp; Wilkins P7</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Grado Prestige SR80e</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>On Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Oppo PM-3</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>B. PORTABLE STEREO HEADPHONES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 1MORE E1001 Triple Driver</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 PSB Speakers M4U 4</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Klipsch Image X11i</td>
<td>$235</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Klipsch X12i</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Westone ADV Alpha</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear Hooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 AKG by Harman Y23</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Scosche SportClip 3</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear Hooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>C. WIRELESS HOME/STUDIO-STYLE STEREO HEADPHONES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Sony MDR-1ABT</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 AKG by Harman K845BT</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Bose SoundLink around-ear headphones II</td>
<td>$280</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Bowers &amp; Wilkins PS Wireless</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Pendulumic Stance S1+</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>D. WIRELESS PORTABLE STEREO HEADPHONES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 beats by dre powerbeats 2 wireless</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear Hooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 SOL Republic Shadow Wireless</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Open-Front Neck Collar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Jabra Sport Coach Wireless</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear-Bowl Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Jabra Sport Pulse Wireless</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear-Bowl Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 JBL by Harman J46BT</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear-Bowl Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Klipsch R8 BT</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear Hooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Monster Adidas Sport Adistar</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear-Bowl Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 SOUL Electronics Run Free Pro</td>
<td>$130</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear-Bowl Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>E. HOME/STUDIO-STYLE NOISE-CANCELING HEADPHONES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Bose QuietComfort 25</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 PSB Speakers M4U 2</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>F. PORTABLE NOISE-CANCELING HEADPHONES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Bose QuietComfort 20i</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear Insert with Ear-Bowl Support Earpieces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How we test: The score for headphones is based on sound quality and, for noise-canceling headphones, effectiveness of ambient noise reduction. The score for wireless speakers is based mainly on sound quality, with ease of use and versatility factored in. The displayed score for both is out of a total of 100 points.
### WIRELESS HOME/STUDIO-STYLE NOISE-CANCELING HEADPHONES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Brand &amp; Model</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Definitive Technology Symphony 1 Executive</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>beats by dre Studio Wireless</td>
<td>$330</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Parrot Zik 3</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Over Ear with Headband</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WIRELESS PORTABLE NOISE-CANCELING HEADPHONES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Brand &amp; Model</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Phiaton BT220 NC</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Ear Insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Phiaton BT 100 NC</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Ear Insert with Open-Front Neck Collar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### HOME WIRELESS SPEAKERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Brand &amp; Model</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Ease of Use</th>
<th>Versatility</th>
<th>Wi-Fi Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sonos Play5 (2015)</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Edifier R2000DB</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sony SRS-X9</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi, Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sonos Play:3</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wren Sound V5US</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi, Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sonos Play:1</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fluance Fi50</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Paradigm PW 600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Denon HEOS 5</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Edifier E30 Spinnaker Bluetooth Speaker System</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PORTABLE WIRELESS SPEAKERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Brand &amp; Model</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Ease of Use</th>
<th>Versatility</th>
<th>Wi-Fi Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Marshall Kilburn</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sony SRS-X77</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi, Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jabra Solemate MAX</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Denon HEOS 1 w/ Go Pack</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi, Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sony SRS-X55</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sony SRS-X7</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi, Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Libratone Zipp (Wi-Fi/Bluetooth 3.0)</td>
<td>$320</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi, Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bose SoundLink Color</td>
<td>$130</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Riva S</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Riva Turbo X</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Harman Kardon Onyx</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi, Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>UE Megaboom</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Stelle Audio Couture Audio Pillar</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>JBL Pulse 2</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Libratone Zipp Mini</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wi-Fi, Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>JBL Xtreme</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluetooth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IMAGINE THIS: It’s the year 2066. You have abdominal pain. Fifty years ago, in 2016, you would have called your doctor and been told that you can’t be seen that day. You’d be sent to the emergency room to wait an entire afternoon to get your blood drawn. You might even have been told to stay overnight for monitoring. That was then. But now doctors come to you, when it’s good for your schedule, not theirs. You can choose for the doctor to come to your home in person or through an on-demand, virtual reality visit. If you do need blood tests, you get them done at home through a device that never breaks your skin. Hospitals exist only for true emergencies and intensive care; otherwise, you are treated and monitored for your recovery in the comfort of your own bedroom. If you need a specialist, that doctor will come to you. Your care team has as its center an advocate, someone who organizes all of your care—not only doctors’ visits but also nutrition services and social needs.

You write up your own medical record, with your doctor’s help. In real time, you and your care team agree on your treatment plan. You cannot believe there was a time when patients’ own medical records were very difficult for them to access, or when doctors didn’t disclose mistakes to patients. Humans will always make mistakes; now they are openly discussed and remediated, and malpractice suits and payments are relics of the past.

Many diseases that plagued your ancestors have cures, such as cancer and Alzheimer’s, and nobody has to die waiting for an organ donation. At the same time, there has been the recognition that too much care, just like too little care, is harmful. Advances in technology have enabled the return of on-site visits so that every individual can receive care where it’s most convenient, including in schools so that children never have to miss class and parents and caregivers never have to miss work.

You shudder at the inhumane practices of just one generation ago, when mental illness was stigmatized as personal failure or a source of shame, and when those with substance addiction landed in prison instead of being proactively offered behavioral or medical health treatment. Thanks to those changes in attitudes, the culture of mass incarceration has ended and the financial savings from not having to run a massive prison system nationwide has been redirected to create housing for the homeless population.

Back in 2016, there were still debates...
over whether healthcare was a right of every citizen. That discussion has since been settled. Now healthcare is guaranteed for all, meaning that health insurance is moot and obsolete. With the acknowledgment that a shorter life span is linked to poverty came the clarity that improving health for many people begins with ending poverty. Fast-food establishments are plentiful, but they exclusively sell healthy foods. Ads for cigarettes, french fries, and sodas are found only in museums. Food deserts—neighborhoods where healthy food is not available—are a distant memory, and you cannot imagine any child going hungry. All of those advancements have resulted in dramatically extended life expectancies for everyone.

DOES THAT SOUND too far-fetched to be true? Perhaps. But imagine what those in 1966 might have said about the advances in medicine we have in 2016, such as the widespread use of vaccines for diseases such as measles that killed thousands of children, and CT scans that can visualize every organ in seconds. A future of healthcare that is rational, efficient, egalitarian, and proactive is in our grasp, but there are steps we must take today to make it a reality.

First, we need to go back to the basics of what healthcare is supposed to be about: taking care of people and meeting their needs, on their terms, where they are. We need to accelerate the movements to have patients and doctors as shared partners in medical decision-making. We need to make real change to the reimbursement system to help doctors spend more time with patients. Doctors should be reimbursed for time spent caring for and listening to patients, rather than for procedures performed on them. That will reorient our system to providing the right care from hospitals and medical facilities after we are already ill, but research shows that 60 percent of what determines our longevity depends on where and how we live, work, and play. It depends on attention to our overall emotional well-being. We must understand that a healthy life starts early, and therefore have successful public health interventions such as early childhood education and programs that send nurses into homes to help pregnant women and young mothers.

LET’S ENSURE THAT health is part of every conversation about the future of our society. There is no such thing as a non-health sector. If our children are unhealthy, they can’t learn. We need all leaders to consider not only the fiscal impact of every policy but also the health impact. The recent travesty of lead poisoning in Flint, Mich., has demonstrated that government officials and businesses must be held accountable to put public safety ahead of profit and cost cutting. If there is a proposal for new schools, communities need to ask for plans showing how classrooms will be designed to maximize wellness (healthy air quality, plenty of options to move about) and how the school system will require innovative programs to give students access to fresh fruits and vegetables, not just in school hallways but at home, too. That must be seen as being as essential as the need to plan for fire escape routes. Similarly, if there is a proposal for a new city development, then doctors, health professionals, and patients alike must work together to ensure that there are walking and bike paths, and plenty of parks—which improve both physical and mental health. We must invest in physical infrastructure that makes exercise and exposure to nature an integral part of everyday lives so that no one has to put “working out” on her calendar, then be tempted to ignore it.

Person-centered health, exceptional quality of life, and health equity are all within our grasp. To get there, we have our work cut out for us, but the future of our society—and the generations who are not yet born—depends on us to be courageous and to look to do what is possible. All of us must speak up, call out the injustices that we see, and demand a future focused on good health for everyone.

Leana S. Wen, M.D., is the commissioner of health in Baltimore. She is an emergency physician and the author of “When Doctors Don’t Listen: How to Avoid Misdiagnoses and Unnecessary Tests” (St. Martin’s Press, 2012). She has practiced at Brigham and Women’s Hospital and Massachusetts General Hospital. At George Washington University, she was on the faculty of the Medical and Public Health Schools and was the director of Patient-Centered Care Research. Follow her on Twitter @DrLeanaWen.
A FEARLESS FIGHT FOR A SAFER WORLD

1930s

MAY 1936
Blowing bubbles. Overpromises were a huge part of many products’ sales pitches. Case in point: Alka-Seltzer. Promoted as a cure-all for everything from colds and headache to fatigue and alcohol excess, we debunked the notion that the effervescent tablet is “some new and startling remedy.” In reality, the active ingredient is ordinary aspirin, and the ballyhooed bubbling comes from a combination of citric acid and baking soda.

JULY 1936
Feel the burn. Though the medical community had yet to definitively link sun exposure and cancer, we tested “sunburn preventives” to see which protected skin. Most failed miserably on that count, and we didn’t even dignify with testing outlandish claims such as those from Mor-Tan, which purported to prevent windburn, freckles, and poison ivy.

AUGUST 1936
Toxins for sale. The best-selling book “100,000,000 Guinea Pigs” (Grosset & Dunlap, 1933), by Frederick Schlink, founder of Consumers’ Research (forerunner of Consumer Reports), and its director, Arthur Kallet, exposed dangers in everyday products. As part of the same effort, we published a blacklist of more than 100 dangerous drugs and cosmetics, including Anacin, Ex-Lax, Mary T. Gordon’s Gray Hair Restorer, and Ruppert’s Face Bleach. The products contained ingredients such as strychnine, arsenic, chloroform, mercury, and lead; some led to fatal poisonings.

DECEMBER 1936
Hold the vitamins. At a time when ads touted various vitamin-fortified foods as a panacea, we pointed out that there was little available research into the body’s need for specific amounts of certain vitamins, and warned that overdoses could cause arterial damage or even death.

MAY 1937
Say “no” to nose drops. One of our earliest advocacy campaigns focused on halting the sometimes deadly practice of administering mineral-oil nose drops to children for congestion. We also warned of a similar aspiration hazard of forcing fats and oils—like the popular cod-liver oil—into the mouths of children. As a result of our coverage, the New York City Board of Health issued a general warning against the use of nasal oil in children under age 2.

1940s

MAY 1944
Insomnia “cures.” We cited “repressed anxiety” as a major culprit and urged doctors to refer patients to counseling. We supported “a cocktail, bath, or dull book” for mild cases, but acknowledged that sufferers might need medication. The drugs of the day were potentially dangerous barbiturates, sometimes available over the counter; we recommended use only under a physician’s care.

JUNE 1946
Not so fast. When a Bayer aspirin ad in the Saturday Evening Post promised immediate pain relief and claimed its aspirin could dissolve in water in 2 seconds, we decided to test the claim. We found that Bayer didn’t dissolve faster than other aspirins and reported that any aspirin took 15 minutes to 30 minutes to relieve a headache. The only difference: Bayer’s price was “uniquely high.”

OCTOBER 1947
Forget the flu shot. The vaccines were considered “highly experimental,” so we urged most people to skip the shot that year. Before the end of the following year, we said, it was possible that preventive measures would be on much sounder footing.

1950s

JULY 1950
Speaking out about STDs. Thanks largely to the antibiotic penicillin, there was progress in
substantial growth, and our dependence on heating and motor fuels. We worried that dirty air would, over time, lead to lung cancer, chronic bronchitis, and other diseases. We called on the auto industry to develop exhaust controls for its vehicles.

**1960s**

**JUNE 1960**

**Insuring seniors.** As health costs spiraled out of control, particularly for hospital services, Congress and Consumer Reports debated the best way to help seniors. “It is becoming increasingly likely that the solution to this huge problem is not going to be found with anything less than a national program,” we said, despite opposition from many groups, including the American Medical Association and the Health Insurance Association of America. In July 1965 President Lyndon B. Johnson signed into law legislation that created two national health-insurance programs, Medicare and Medicaid.

**JULY 1960**

**A plea for safer drugs.** In testimony before a Senate subcommittee, Consumers Union’s editorial director, Mildred Brady, called on officials to do a better job of policing the marketplace for substandard medications. She also skewered big pharma before it was fashionable, saying that the industry’s scare tactics against less expensive generic drug alternatives were rooted in self-interest and designed to keep prices high because of a lack of competition.

**SEPTEMBER 1962**

**Nuclear nightmare.** In 1959 we reported that nuclear fallout from atomic-bomb testing was getting into milk in the form of radioactive strontium-90, feared to cause bone cancer and leukemia. Three years later additional bomb testing by the Soviets led to increased fallout in milk as iodine-131, which could over time lead to thyroid cancer. Our work exposing the dangers prompted the government to expand its monitoring of fallout and helped bolster public support for the 1963 nuclear test-ban treaty.

**OCTOBER 1962**

**Thalidomide scare.** Though thalidomide, a sleeping pill, was never approved for sale in the U.S., thousands of women who took it early in pregnancy gave birth to children with deformities including malformed limbs. We supported the swift movement of legislation that would demand that drugmakers do more thorough premarket testing, implement tighter manufacturing controls, and insist on fuller disclosure of side effects.

**OCTOBER 1963**

**Antibiotics advocacy.** Consumer Reports had long said that over-the-counter products should not contain antibiotics, so we applauded the Food and Drug Administration for ordering the makers of such lozenges, nose drops, deodorants, mouthwashes, and lotions to submit proof of the products’ effectiveness or take them off the market.

**AUGUST 1964**

**Contraceptives to count on.** Before the sexual revolution was in full swing, our medical consultants rated family planning methods based on statistics that showed how well they protected against accidental pregnancy. Highly effective: condoms and diaphragms plus a spermicidal cream or jelly. As for the birth-control pill, our attitude was “cautious acceptance,” though we noted ongoing questions about its safety and efficacy.

**MAY 1966**

**Keeping doctors honest.** While Congress investigated the questionable practices of physicians who made money from the sale of drugs, eyeglasses, and medical devices they themselves had prescribed, we suggested that consumers insist on a written prescription and have it filled independently.

**OCTOBER 1967**

**Mood meds.** With doctors writing more than 33 million prescriptions per year for antianxiety, antipsychotic, and antidepressant drugs, we asked, “Is anything much being accomplished?” We evaluated the research behind those drugs, noting potential side effects and providing safety cautions. And we praised antipsychotic drugs for helping to reduce the number of psychiatric hospitalizations.

**1970s**

**MARCH 1970**

**Poison paint.** Though manufacturers stopped making interior paint with high lead concentrations in the 1950s, poisonings among children remained high, with most cases traced to lead paint flakes in old dwellings. Still, many products on the market contained appreciable amounts of lead, so we called on manufacturers to reveal the actual lead content on all of their paints.

**NOVEMBER 1972**

**Writing the book on drugs.** Our breakthrough book “Licit and Illicit Drugs” (Little, Brown and Company, 1972), by medical writer Edward M. Brecher, was a compendium on the effects of mind-altering drugs from narcotics to nicotine on individuals and society. The New York Times described it as a “towering work of scholarship.”
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FEBRUARY 1997

Focus on ADD. Attention deficit

disorder was hailed as a diagno-

sis that finally explained the

personal struggle of millions—

but it was also denounced as a

label that could be applied too

hastily by parents, teachers, and

doctors. We warned about the overuse of Ritalin to calm an overactive child, encouraging the use of a combination of therapies: behavior modification, psychological help, and classroom assistance, as well as medication.

AUGUST 1997
Mad cow mania. When the FDA issued rules to thwart the fatal brain illness, we complained that the agency—though prohibiting feeding cattle and sheep remains to other cattle and sheep—didn’t go far enough because it failed to impose a ban on chicken, horse, and pig remains in animal feed.

OCTOBER 1999
Drugstore ratings. Our drugstores Ratings accompanied an expose of websites selling dangerous drugs that were claimed to help drop pounds, grow hair, and improve your love life, without seeing a doctor. And in our undercover investigation, many pharmacists failed to warn our secret shoppers when they bought a potentially dangerous combination: the prescription drug warfarin (Coumadin) and ginkgo biloba supplements that, when taken together, could increase the risk of serious bleeding and possibly cause a stroke.

JUNE 2001
Jock jam. Americans spent $1.4 billion in 1999 on pills, drinks, and powders to bulk up, slim down, or become better athletes. The few good scientific studies suggested the products didn’t work or only gave athletes a slight edge. Worse, we said, some contain potentially harmful substances. Two years later we helped to pass legislation in California banning supplements with ephedra, an herbal stimulant that acts like an amphetamine. In 2004 the FDA banned the sale of supplements containing ephedra.

AUGUST 2001
Vaccines backlash. Our investigation found significant gaps in the system for monitoring the safety of vaccines; that the FDA and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention were slow to address correctable problems, such as the use of mercury as a preservative; and that adversarial treatment of those who sought compensation for bad reactions was fueling the growing chorus of anti-vaccination forces.

JANUARY 2003
Hospital safety. Our hospitals survey of 21,000 subscribers revealed enormous variations in treatment. Those who were less than highly satisfied (22 percent) complained about unanswered calls for help, inadequate pain relief, pressure to leave the hospital too soon, or complications related to hospitalization. Six percent reported that they had developed an infection while in the hospital or shortly after. We began our Safe Patient Project campaign to push hospitals to publicly report their infection rates, which is now mandatory in some states.

DECEMBER 2003
A dark diet drug. We raised a red flag about the prescription weight-loss drug sibutramine (Meridia), writing that since 1998 the FDA had received reports of 49 deaths from heart disease that were linked to use of the drug. Our medical consultants advised not to use it or other weight-loss medications because none had been proved safe or effective long-term. (It took until 2010 for Meridia to be taken off the market.)

NOVEMBER 2004
Sharing side effects. We launched our Prescription for Change campaign, which, among other issues, advocated for landmark federal legislation (passed in 2007) that prevented drug companies from downplaying harmful side effects. The cornerstone of the law required clinical drug trial results be made public so that consumers could know the good and bad news about meds.

DECEMBER 2004
Best Buy Drugs debuts. Consumer Reports was awarded a grant to educate consumers about prescription drugs for common health conditions based on their effectiveness, safety, and price, and to help patients talk to their doctors about the most effective and affordable treatment options.

SEPTEMBER 2006
Bad nursing homes. Almost 20 years after a landmark federal law was passed to improve the nation’s nursing homes, a Consumer Reports probe revealed that poor care remained all too common. Our analysis of state inspection reports for 16,000 nursing homes nationwide led to the CR Nursing Home Quality Monitor, a list of the best and worst homes by state.

MAY 2008
Full disclosure. Consumer Reports launched its Health Ratings Center, which shares data about doctors and hospitals that were previously undisclosed or so complex as to be indecipherable. An editorial in the New England Journal of Medicine described the release of heart surgeon performance data as a “watershed event in health care accountability.”

SEPTEMBER 2008
Hospital mistakes. We lauded a new federal law that penalized hospitals for mistakes such as leaving sponges in patients after surgery or giving them the wrong blood type. Previously, Medicare, private insurers, or patients were usually billed for the extra care required to recover from errors.

MARCH 2010
Deadly infections. Doctors once considered central line-associated bloodstream infections an unavoidable risk of intensive care, but we publicized research that showed patients could be spared if hospitals followed a checklist of hygienic precautions. By 2014 the CDC had found a 50 percent decrease in these infections, saving thousands of lives.

FEBRUARY 2005
The trouble with tanning. Millions of Americans were lured to tanning salons by bogus claims that indoor tanning was safer than sunlight. Our survey of 296 salons exposed widespread failures to inform customers of risks, including wrinkles and skin cancer, or to enforce safety procedures such as wearing goggles. The industry, we concluded, was minimally regulated and a danger to consumers. (Regulations came later, in 2015.)

SEPTEMBER 2009
ODing on good intentions. Over-prescribing drugs and ordering excessive tests and procedures can be hazardous to your health—and your wallet, we reported. Working with a coalition of medical organizations in a project dubbed Choosing Wisely, we identified tests and treatments that were done too often, such as antibiotics for sinusitis.

DECEMBER 2014
A beautiful death. Our multimedia feature on palliative care helped families navigate the difficult decisions surrounding end-of-life care and was nominated for a National Magazine Award.

JUNE 2012
ODing on good intentions. Over-prescribing drugs and ordering excessive tests and procedures can be hazardous to your health—and your wallet, we reported. Working with a coalition of medical organizations in a project dubbed Choosing Wisely, we identified tests and treatments that were done too often, such as antibiotics for sinusitis.

MAY 2012
Joint effort. Tens of millions of Americans live with medical devices—artificial joints, surgical mesh, heart defibrillators—but rarely were they tested for safety and effectiveness. Congress did not heed our call for testing, among other reforms. But public support did help prevent further weakening of FDA oversight.

JUNE 2012
ODing on good intentions. Over-prescribing drugs and ordering excessive tests and procedures can be hazardous to your health—and your wallet, we reported. Working with a coalition of medical organizations in a project dubbed Choosing Wisely, we identified tests and treatments that were done too often, such as antibiotics for sinusitis.

DECEMBER 2014
A beautiful death. Our multimedia feature on palliative care helped families navigate the difficult decisions surrounding end-of-life care and was nominated for a National Magazine Award.

AUGUST 2015
The rise of superbugs. Dangerous antibiotic-resistant infections were growing stronger, we warned in an award-winning three-part series. It’s a mess of our own making due in part to overprescription, leading to infections that can cause serious illness and death.
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RATINGS FROM OUR TEST TRACK plus EXPERT CAR ADVICE
Great infotainment systems don’t necessarily come in great cars—and vice versa. We’ve called out eight perfect pairings, meaning vehicle models we recommend that have top-performing infotainment systems to match.

### The Excellent Eight

Great infotainment systems don’t necessarily come in great cars—and vice versa. We’ve called out eight perfect pairings, meaning vehicle models we recommend that have top-performing infotainment systems to match.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Audi A6</strong></th>
<th><strong>Dodge Durango</strong></th>
<th><strong>BMW 3 Series</strong></th>
<th><strong>Buick Regal</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comfortable, sporty, and fun to drive, with an infotainment system that’s tactile and easy to use once learned.</strong></td>
<td><strong>A luxurious, refined, and capable SUV with an infotainment system that’s the favorite among owners.</strong></td>
<td><strong>A sports sedan that’s fun to drive, with pinpoint handling and a first-rate infotainment system—once you get the hang of it.</strong></td>
<td><strong>This satisfying sports sedan has agile handling; a quiet, comfortable cabin; and a highly intuitive infotainment system.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OVERALL SCORE 84</strong></td>
<td><strong>OVERALL SCORE 76</strong></td>
<td><strong>OVERALL SCORE 75</strong></td>
<td><strong>OVERALL SCORE 78</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Chevrolet Impala</strong></th>
<th><strong>Hyundai Genesis</strong></th>
<th><strong>Hyundai Sonata</strong></th>
<th><strong>Kia Optima</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A thoroughly modern and enjoyable car that has a quiet, roomy cabin and an infotainment system with no-nonsense knobs and buttons.</strong></td>
<td><strong>This exceptionally quiet and comfortable luxury car comes with a straightforward touch screen and a controller knob for easier use.</strong></td>
<td><strong>An affordable performer with decent fuel efficiency, the Sonata comes with the most-liked voice-command and Bluetooth systems.</strong></td>
<td><strong>The modestly priced Optima has nimble handling, comfortable seats, and a winning infotainment system. (Go for the larger screen.)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OVERALL SCORE 81</strong></td>
<td><strong>OVERALL SCORE 78</strong></td>
<td><strong>OVERALL SCORE 76</strong></td>
<td><strong>OVERALL SCORE 81</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OWNER SATISFACTION SURVEY

INFOTAINMENT SYSTEMS

They can be technological marvels that make driving a dream, or so difficult to use that they’re downright infuriating. Here, our subscribers get real about their infotainment systems.

THE STANDOUT

1
Fiat-Chrysler
Uconnect 8.4
70% VERY SATISFIED

Its straightforward touch-screen design, combined with a few traditional knobs and buttons, helps make Chrysler’s Uconnect 8.4 infotainment system a favorite with consumers. Satisfaction with Bluetooth and voice commands—often sore spots with drivers—ranked highly. Don’t confuse the 8.4 with Chrysler’s lesser Uconnect systems, which have smaller screens, less capability, and a much lower satisfaction rating of 49 percent. With an infotainment system this good, it’s too bad very few Chrysler models are recommended by Consumer Reports.

VERY GOOD

2
Hyundai Blue Link
63% VERY SATISFIED

Hyundai specializes in making intuitive systems. A 2015 Hyundai Sonata owner says he’s “amazed at how easy it works.” Other owners appreciated that the Genesis incorporates both a touch screen and controller knob. Hyundai’s quick-to-learn voice command and Bluetooth systems rank highest for owner satisfaction.

3
BMW iDrive
60% VERY SATISFIED

BMW’s iDrive employs a console-mounted controller knob, although the latest version adds a touch screen and is easier to use than earlier iterations. Still, mastering iDrive takes some time. It’s super-reliable, with good Bluetooth connectivity. Owner satisfaction with Bluetooth and call quality is very high, as is the system’s thoroughness: “It is very comprehensive and tells me everything about my vehicle,” an owner says. An X3 owner added, “The learning curve is fairly steep, but the system is not bad once you get the hang of it.”

4
GM (Chevrolet, Buick, GMC) MyLink/IntelliLink
57% VERY SATISFIED

These high-ranked systems from Chevrolet, Buick, and GMC closely mirror Chrysler’s design. Screens are intuitive, most fonts are large, and there are multiple traditional knobs and buttons. Steering-wheel remote controls are comprehensive. Owners generally like using the OnStar system, which can call a live GM concierge for roadside assistance or to download directions straight to the navigation system.

5
Kia Uvo
57% VERY SATISFIED

Like sister company Hyundai, Kia has infotainment systems that use knobs and buttons along with a touch screen. We suggest splurging for the optional navigation system’s larger screen; a 2016 Sorento owner complains that the basic screen “is way too small, and the messages on it are even smaller.”

6
Audi MMI
57% VERY SATISFIED

MMI uses a controller knob, buttons, and a screen. The system is complex, but many agreed with the 2013 Q5 owner who said it “takes getting used to. Once mastered is intuitive.” The latest Audis feature the optional Virtual Cockpit—which replaces normal instrument gauges with a big digital screen that can display Google Maps and phone calls straight ahead of the driver.

7
Lexus Remote Touch
56% VERY SATISFIED

This system uses a trackpad or mouselike joystick, and a high-mounted display screen. A Lexus RX owner reports that “using the mouse-based system is cumbersome but manageable.” Owners like that the touchpad is easier to reach than a faraway touch screen. Lexus also won praise for easy-to-use Bluetooth audio streaming.

8
Nissan NissanConnect
54% VERY SATISFIED

Pairing a bright screen with knobs and buttons, Nissan’s infotainment systems are intuitive to use, with Bluetooth audio streaming that works well.

9
Infiniti
Infiniti Connection
54% VERY SATISFIED

Most Infiniti models are relatively long into their market cycle, which explains the dated-looking graphics of their infotainment systems. But Connection, which combines a touch screen with a controller knob, works better than the newer, slow, and glitch-laden Infiniti InTouch system that rolled out first with the 2014 Q50 sedan. A worrisome note: The InTouch system, when separated from the overall Infiniti brand, finished dead last in our rankings—with only 38 percent very satisfied—because of its frequent software crashes and slow startup.

10
Volvo
Sensus Connect
52% VERY SATISFIED

Most current Volvo models use familiar-looking radio knobs and buttons to control a center screen. Winding your way through the menus isn’t intuitive or easy. The new generation of Volvo infotainment, launched with the 2016 XC90 SUV, uses a large iPad-like touch screen that requires a lot of fingertip swiping to navigate.
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11
**Mercedes-Benz**  
Comand  
51% **VERY SATISFIED**

Comand pairs a center knob controller with a display screen. Earlier iterations were relatively easy to use, especially for a German luxury brand known for having complicated engineering. But though many rivals are simplifying, the latest versions of Comand have grown more complex, losing familiar knobs and buttons, and gaining a protuberant touchpad and more involved menus.

12
**Subaru** Starlink  
50% **VERY SATISFIED**

For years, Subaru’s infotainment systems were antiquated. Recent models finally have a modern touch-screen system combined with knobs and buttons. Although clearly improved, the new system remains decidedly average. Voice commands and Bluetooth satisfaction are average as well. Owners complained that the glossy screen “reflects bright sunlight, making it hard to see.” Many owners complained that the navigation system cannot be programmed when the car is moving.

13
**Ford** MyFord/MyLincoln Touch  
49% **VERY SATISFIED**

Ford was an early innovator in infotainment. But owners of MyFord Touch report reliability problems, with the system “constantly rebooting” and sometimes requiring replacement. Subsequent years have had fewer problems, but the screen design remains cluttered and unintuitive. Certain models replaced buttons and knobs with touch-sensitive flush buttons that were “overly touchy.” A 2013 Fusion owner writes, “MyFord Touch is a wonderful idea that was mostly implemented but was never really finished.” Ford is rolling out the new Sync 3 system, which responds quicker and is easier to use.

14
**Mazda** Mazda Connect  
49% **VERY SATISFIED**

One owner sums it up well: “Mazda still has some work left to do.” Mazda’s latest system uses a large central controller knob to select from a display screen. Another owner says, “I’m not impressed. It’s difficult to get through all of the steps to get what I want.” Owners were frustrated that the touch screen works only when the vehicle is stopped and that the voice-recognition system often misunderstands commands. One owner complained that the Bluetooth was “incredibly flaky and crash-prone.”

15
**Honda** HondaLink/AcuraLink  
49% **VERY SATISFIED**

Honda and Acura infotainment systems come in several designs, none of which make owners happy. Many were dissatisfied with voice-command quality—the lowest-ranked among all brands. One owner found it “very difficult to use the commands because they must be said in ‘Acura-speak,’ not commonly used English words.” Our tests found the onscreen buttons and menus to be unintuitive.

16
**Toyota** Entune  
44% **VERY SATISFIED**

Toyota’s system combines a touch screen and regular knobs and buttons, but the “whole thing is hit and miss,” one owner says. Complaints include small screens and slow system response. Voice commands often proved to be frustrating to use. The Entune app capability seems “clunky compared to phone apps.” Many respondents voiced frustration that the navigation system cannot be programmed while the car is in motion, preventing even passengers from entering a destination.

17
**Cadillac** Cue  
40% **VERY SATISFIED**

Owners criticize Cue for being “sluggish” and trouble-prone, findings backed up by Consumer Reports’ reliability data. Some owners report having difficulty using Cue for months, even years, after purchase. A typical complaint: “This car REALLY needs a co-pilot with an IT degree.” Many criticisms focus on capacitive-touch buttons that are overly sensitive; one consumer noted that you “barely wave your hand in front of the Cue system and you change radio stations.”

TAKING APPLE AND GOOGLE ALONG FOR THE RIDE

Love everything about your car except its infotainment system? There’s an app for that. Apple’s CarPlay and Google’s Android Auto systems bring the familiar functionality of smartphones to the car’s screen, so there’s no need to bother with a clunky automaker’s system that was installed at the factory. All you have to do is plug your Apple or Android phone into any car that supports the system, and a modified version of your smartphone screen (with bigger icons and edited-down menus) appears on your dash monitor quicker than you can ask Siri for the score to last night’s baseball game.

CarPlay and Android Auto work well for phone calls and reading back text messages. They also provide voice-activated navigation that’s easier to use than the systems installed by most auto manufacturers. Another meaningful advantage of CarPlay and Android Auto: Cloud-based maps and points of interest are always up to date, eliminating the need for costly map updates. And the most current software is just a download away. (Auto-makers can have difficulties keeping their native software current.)

As good as CarPlay and Android Auto are, there are drawbacks: They won’t work in older cars without an aftermarket head unit. And though more than 100 models since 2016 support them, that’s only about one-third of new vehicles on the market.

CarPlay’s music player can be cumbersome, and in GM models, it hides the outside-temperature display. On Android Auto, Pandora and Spotify have similar artist-song-album displays that rob unique features. In cars without a touch screen, such as Audi and Mercedes-Benz, you need to awkwardly use the system’s dial controller. And switching to broadcast or satellite radio requires you to change from the smartphone app to the car’s system.
Worth the Splurge

The Audi Q7 gets top scores for a reason: It’s the best SUV we’ve tested to date.

It’s now our top-rated SUV.

A calm, tranquil cabin shields occupants from exterior ruckus without insulating the driver from a fun cruise down the road.

The Q7 rides comfortably, but some underlying firmness creeps in at low speeds. You can get a $64,300 Prestige edition with an optional $4,000 air suspension system that impressively cushions that slight discomfort, if it bothers you.

As it romped through our test track’s avoidance maneuver, we found that our roomy Q7 handles more like a smaller SUV. Like all Audis, the Q7 is predictable, balanced, and bracing to drive, even under pressure. The 333-hp gasoline engine provides plenty of smooth and effortless thrust.

The Q7 continues Audi’s trend of modernist-luxury interiors. It’s a joy to run your fingers over the matte wood, brushed aluminum, and double-stitched leather. The infotainment and controls are daunting at first but over time prove to be logical.

Though it’s pricey, the Q7 is a good reason to spend part of your children’s inheritance on a car.

Tight as a Drum

Sharing underpinnings with the luxuriant C-Class sedan, Mercedes’ newest SUV delivers athletic performance.

A large chunk of change.

The GLC has one of the most comfortable rides of any small SUV. It’s also strikingly silent, with the thrum of the 241-hp four-cylinder turbo engine barely intruding upon the interior.

You also get some athletic chops that make the GLC surprisingly fun to drive for an SUV, with steering that responds crisply to your command. Forward-collision warning with automatic braking is standard—we award bonus points for that.

Despite its small size, the 2.0-liter engine pulls the GLC with ease. The nine-speed transmission keeps the engine performing in its sweet spot. That combination returned 22 mpg overall.

The interior is elegant and well-assembled. Front accommodations are roomy and relaxed, but it’s unfortunately snug for those in back. There’s a steep learning curve needed to master the fussy controls and infotainment system, which has a distracting and cumbersome user interface.

The GLC’s predicted reliability is based mostly on the donor C-Class sedan’s below-average rating. Our forthcoming 2016 owner survey will tell us more.
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The Average Driving Machine

The second-generation BMW X1 is larger, roomier, and more practical than its predecessor. But it falls short on traditional BMW traits.

**LUXURY ENTRY-LEVEL SUVs**

### BMW X1

**TESTED VEHICLE**

**ROAD-TEST SCORE**

74

**HIGHS**

Transmission, braking, fuel economy

**LOWS**

Road noise, narrow front seats, stiff ride, no blind-spot monitoring

**POWER-TRAIN**

228-hp turbo 2.0-liter 4-cyl.; 8-speed automatic transmission; all-wheel drive

**FUEL**

26 mpg

**PRICE AS TESTED**

$44,745

**EVEN THOUGH** the BMW X1 compact SUV earned a decent road-test score and ranks well among its competitors, its dynamic responsiveness lacks the purity we expect from the German brand. A zippy turbocharged four-cylinder provides alert acceleration should you need to squirt through traffic. The transmission performs smooth and quick upshifts. Fuel economy is impressive for the class. But unlike most BMWs, the X1 lacks a certain handling precision. It’s capable of hustling down a back road, but the patented BMW verve and fun factor aren’t present.

This second-generation X1’s ride gets jittery over rough surfaces, and noise from the road and an uncultured engine are coarse inside the cabin—unbefitting a luxury brand. Braking, however, is confidence inspiring, with short stops.

The X1’s ride height feels akin to driving a tall wagon. But the front seats are insultingly small and narrow; the short seat cushion has the thigh support of a beach chair.

As is typical BMW, the X1 features an attractive come-on price, but key safety features cost additional. And blind-spot monitoring isn’t available at all. If you’re new to BMW, you’ll probably find the new X1 capable and inviting. Come with a knowledge of BMW’s inherent brand qualities and you might be in for a letdown.

---

**COMPACT SUVs**

### Toyota RAV4

**TESTED VEHICLE**

**ROAD-TEST SCORES**

75 (XLE)

74 (Hybrid XLE)

**HIGHS**

Room, ride, access, reliability, Hybrid mpg

**LOWS**

Seats lack support, daytime running lights easy to cancel, child seats hard to secure

**POWER-TRAIN**

XLE 176-hp, 2.5-liter 4-cyl.; 6-sp. auto. trans.

Hybrid XLE 194-hp, 2.5-liter 4-cyl. hybrid; CVT; all-wheel drive

**FUEL**

24 mpg (XLE)

31 mpg (Hybrid)

**PRICE AS TESTED**

$29,014 (XLE)

$29,753 (Hybrid)

**LET’S CUT to the chase:** At 31 mpg in our tests, the Toyota RAV4 Hybrid has the best fuel economy of any SUV that doesn’t plug in.

In an era of two-buck gas (for however long that lasts), you may feel cavalier about that statistic, but don’t ignore the fact that the entire RAV4 lineup’s midcycle update also boasts an improved ride, a quieter cabin, and slightly updated styling.

Regardless of which version you pick, the 2016 RAV4 provides a strong blend of fuel efficiency, ride comfort, roominess, and reliability.

The base 176-hp, 2.5-liter four-cylinder provides responsive power and a respectable 24 mpg. The hybrid version feels even punchier accelerating away from a full stop.

Toyota changed the RAV4’s overly firm ride into something more cushy, although at a slight sacrifice of handling precision. Toyota also quieted the din of wind, road, and engine noise that seeps into the cabin.

Front and rear seating are spacious—but inferior seat cushion support meant we emerged from the RAV4 needing to perform some “good morning” stretches. Also, a power driver’s seat comes only on expensive SE and Limited trims.

Despite some odd price and equipment matchings, the RAV4 is one of the most reliable small SUVs you can buy. Those upgrades keep it among the top-ranked models in its class.
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### Ratings: Every Kind of SUV Under the Sun

Scores in context: Recommended models did well in our road-test score and had average or better predicted reliability. They also performed adequately in government or insurance-industry crash tests, if tested. The Overall Score factors in road-test score, reliability, safety, and owner satisfaction. For full Ratings, available to online subscribers, check out ConsumerReports.org/cars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAKE &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>RELIABILITY</th>
<th>SAFETY</th>
<th>ROAD-TEST RESULTS</th>
<th>Overhead DVR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVERALL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPACT SUVs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Subaru Forester 2.5i Premium</td>
<td>$27,145</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Toyota RAV4 Hybrid XLE</td>
<td>$29,753</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Toyota RAV4 XLE</td>
<td>$29,014</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hyundai Tucson Sport (1.6T)</td>
<td>$28,670</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mazda CX-5 Touring (2.5L)</td>
<td>$28,090</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hyundai Tucson SE (2.0L)</td>
<td>$25,920</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Nissan Rogue SV</td>
<td>$29,920</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Honda CR-V EX</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Lincoln MKC Reserve</td>
<td>$28,040</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LUXURY ENTRY-LEVEL SUVs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Audi Q3 Premium Plus</td>
<td>$40,125</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. BMW X1 xDrive28i</td>
<td>$44,745</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mercedes-Benz GLA250</td>
<td>$42,210</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Std./</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LUXURY COMPACT SUVs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Lexus NX 200t</td>
<td>$43,284</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. BMW X3 xDrive28i (2.0T)</td>
<td>$44,595</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Audi Q5 Premium Plus (2.0T)</td>
<td>$43,675</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Acura RDX</td>
<td>$38,990</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mercedes-Benz GLC300</td>
<td>$49,105</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Std./</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LUXURY 3-ROW SUVs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Audi Q7 Premium Plus</td>
<td>$68,695</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Acura MDX Tech</td>
<td>$51,410</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. BMW X5 xDrive35i</td>
<td>$70,050</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Volvo XC90 T6 Momentum</td>
<td>$56,805</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Opt.</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mercedes-Benz GLS350d (GL)**</td>
<td>$73,020</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Std./</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Land Rover Range Rover Sport HSE (3.0L)</td>
<td>$74,040</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Std./</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on limited data. **Powertrain has changed since last test. Models that scored too low in Overall Score are not recommended. !Caution, subpar crash-test results.
In Great Company

COL. LANE CARLSON was one of the first decorated women in the U.S. Army. Originally from Nebraska, Lane soared to high ranks of military service. She served as an aide to Gen. Douglas MacArthur, a guide for Helen Keller, and a military technical adviser to Hollywood.

Lane also cared deeply about the work of Consumer Reports. She included a generous bequest to Consumer Reports in her estate.

Her accomplishments and legacy are admirable, and Consumer Reports is proud, in turn, to have earned her appreciation and support.

Please join the ranks of our Legacy Society.

Yes, I have already included Consumer Reports in my will or other estate plans.

Yes, I want to leave a legacy by including Consumer Reports in my will or other estate plans. Please send me more information about the Legacy Society.

Name(s)__________________________

Address__________________________

Email____________________________

Telephone________________________

Return to: Planned Giving, 101 Truman Ave., Yonkers, NY 10703 bequests@cr.consumer.org | 877-275-3425

Consumer Reports is a member of Consumers International, a federation of more than 220 consumer organizations from more than 150 countries working to protect and empower consumers throughout the world. For information, go to consumersinternational.org.

Please Remember CR in Your Will
For information on how to leave a bequest and become a Legacy Leader, please contact us at 877-275-3425 or bequests@cr.consumer.org.
Summer Daze

Maybe it’s the heat, but these mixed messages left us more than a little confused
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Car Buying
Should Be Hassle-Free
Get in and out of the dealership in half the time

Consumer Reports Ratings
Negotiation-free savings
Nationwide dealer network
Incentives included

Buyers have saved an average of $2,954 off MSRP

Maximize your savings at ConsumerReports.org/carbuying

*Between 7/1/15 and 9/30/15, the average estimated savings off MSRP presented by TrueCar Certified Dealers to users of the Build & Buy Car Buying Service, based on users who configured virtual vehicles and who TrueCar identified as purchasing a new vehicle of the same make and model listed on the certificate from Certified Dealers as of 10/31/2015, was $2,954, including applicable vehicle specific manufacturer incentives. Your actual savings may vary based on multiple factors including the vehicle you select, region, dealer, and applicable vehicle specific manufacturer incentives which are subject to change. The Manufacturer’s Suggested Retail Price (“MSRP”) is determined by the manufacturer, and may not reflect the price at which vehicles are generally sold in the dealer’s trade area as not all vehicles are sold at MSRP. Each dealer sets its own pricing. Your actual purchase price is negotiated between you and the dealer. Neither TrueCar nor Consumer Reports brokers, sells or leases motor vehicles. Service not available in Canada.
How to Use the Canada Extra Section

Every month, Canada Extra provides Canadian pricing and availability information about products tested for that issue. The Ratings in this section are based on this month’s reports, but they narrow your choices to the products that are sold in Canada.

You can use this section in either of two ways: Start with the main report, read about the products that interest you, and turn to this section to find whether they’re sold—and for what price—in Canada. Or start here, find products sold in Canada whose price and overall score appear promising, and read more about them in the main report and full Ratings chart; page numbers appear with each Canadian report. (For some products, the Canadian model designation differs slightly from the one used in the U.S.)

In most cases, the prices we list here are the approximate retail in Canadian dollars; manufacturers’ list prices are indicated by an asterisk (*). Check marks identify CR Best Buys or recommended products in the U.S. Ratings. “NA” in a chart means that information wasn’t available from the manufacturer. We include, in the Contact Info list on page 34d, the manufacturer’s phone number and Web address in Canada so that you can call or go online to get information on a model you can’t find in the stores. (Many products that aren’t available in Canadian stores can be bought online.)

We appreciate your support, but we don’t take it for granted. Please write to CanadaExtra@cu.consumer.org and tell us what you think. We can’t reply to every email message or implement every suggestion, but with your help we’ll try to keep growing to serve your needs.

Air Conditioners

Fifteen of the top-scoring air conditioners are available. Report and Ratings, pages 17-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rec.</th>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. WINDOW A/Cs 5,000 TO 6,500 BTU/HR. (COOLS 100 TO 300 SQ. FT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LG LW6016ER</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Frigidaire FFRA0511R1</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Frigidaire FFRE0533S1</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Friedrich CP05G10A</td>
<td>$360</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Haier HWF05XCL</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| B. WINDOW A/Cs 7,000 TO 8,500 BTU/HR. (COOLS 250 TO 400 SQ. FT) |
| 2 | LG LW8014ER | $240 | 77 |
| 5 | Haier ESAQ408P | $420 | 68 |
| 6 | LG LW8016ER | $240 | 67 |
| 7 | Frigidaire FFRE0833S1 | $300 | 67 |

| C. WINDOW A/Cs 9,800 TO 12,500 BTU/HR. (COOLS 350 TO 650 SQ. FT) |
| 1 | LG LW1216ER | $350 | 82 |
| 2 | LG LW1214ER | $350 | 78 |
| 4 | Frigidaire FFRE1033S1 | $370 | 64 |

| D. PORTABLE AIR CONDITIONERS 9,000 TO 15,500 BTU/HR. |
| 1 | Friedrich P12B | $785 | 55 |
| 4 | Whynter ARC-14SH | $750 | 52 |
| 5 | Whynter ARC-12SDH | $672 | 51 |

† Pretest model; we’ll check-test retail model when it’s available. ‡ Has a slide-out chassis, which eases installation.
Gas Grills

Twenty-five of the top-scoring gas grills are available. Report and Ratings, pages 30-39

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. MIDSIZED (ROOM FOR 18 TO 28 BURGERS)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Nexgrill 720-0830H (Home Depot)</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Backyard Grill GBC1646P (Walmart)</td>
<td>$195</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Weber Spirit SP-320 46700401</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Napoleon LD48RSBI</td>
<td>$1,150</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Weber E-330</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Weber Genesis S-330</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Nexgrill Evolution Infrared Plus 720-0882A (Home Depot)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Napoleon Lex 485RSIB</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Dyna-Glo Premium DGA550SSP-D</td>
<td>$630</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Weber Genesis E-330</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. LARGE (ROOM FOR 28 OR MORE BURGERS)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Napoleon Prestige Pro 665RSIB</td>
<td>$3,300</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Napoleon Mirage Lex 730RSBI</td>
<td>$1,900</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Weber Summit E-670</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. KitchenAid 720-0893</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. LARGE continued</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Broil King Baron 590S 923584</td>
<td>$950</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. SMALL (ROOM FOR 18 OR FEWER BURGERS)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Weber E-210</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Broil-Mate 165154</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Napoleon SE325</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Broil-Mate 2402SBMT</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Char-Broil Commercial Tru-Infrared 463642316 (Lowe’s)</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Backyard Grill GBC1429W-C (Walmart)</td>
<td>$165</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAND &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. PORTABLE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Weber Q 2200 54060001</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Weber Q 1000 50060001</td>
<td>$220</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Char-Broil Tru-Infrared Grill2Go X200</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similar to the tested Broil King Baron 590 923584, now discontinued.

Canada Extra on the Web

Canada Extra information can be found on ConsumerReports.org, our website, along with the current issue of the magazine and more. Once there, click on the “Canada Extra” link on the opening screen. There you can see which reports have Canadian information available.
Recalls

2002-2010 Lexus and Toyota models
On certain vehicles, the passenger (frontal) airbag inflator could produce excessive internal pressure during airbag deployment, causing the inflator to rupture and propel fragments toward vehicle occupants. That could also damage the airbag module, preventing proper deployment. Note: This recall supersedes recalls 2013113, 2014224, 2015197, and 2015269.
What to Do All vehicles that have not received a replacement inflator as part of the previous recall will now have a replacement inflator installed by dealers. For Matrix model vehicles, dealers may replace the front passenger airbag assembly with one equipped with a newly specified inflator.

2003-2008 Pontiac Vibe models
On certain vehicles, the passenger (frontal) airbag inflator could produce excessive internal pressure during airbag deployment, causing the inflator to rupture and propel fragments toward vehicle occupants. That could also damage the airbag module, preventing proper deployment. Note: This recall supersedes recalls 2013-116, 2014-301, and 2015-272.
Models 60,672 vehicles.
What to Do Have the dealer replace the front passenger airbag assembly with one equipped with a newly specified inflator.

2011-2013 Kia Sorento models
On certain vehicles, the sunroof can break due to external impacts, which can allow sunroof crosspieces with some tempered glass attached to dislodge and drop into the passenger cabin and onto occupants.
Models 10,459 vehicles.
What to Do Have the dealer install additional connective pieces to prevent the possibility of sunroof crosspieces dropping under a product enhancement campaign. In the interim, owners are advised to drive with the sunshade in the closed position until the campaign is completed.

2011-2016 Porsche models
On certain vehicles, a circlip at the brake pedal hinge may not have been installed at the time of assembly, allowing the pedal to wobble and potentially separate from its pivot. That could lead to impaired brake function and increased stopping distances.
What to Do Have the dealer inspect and add a circlip as necessary.

2011-2016 Volkswagen Touareg models
On certain vehicles, a securing clip at the brake pedal hinge may have become dislodged during vehicle assembly, allowing the pedal to wobble and potentially separate from its pivot. That could lead to impaired brake function and increased stopping distances.
Models 11,588 vehicles.
What to Do Have the dealer inspect and add a securing clip as necessary.

2012-2013 Hyundai models
On certain vehicles, the cover seal of the windshield wiper motor could degrade over time and result in moisture ingress causing corrosion on the wiper motor’s circuit board. In time, the windshield wipers could function intermittently or become inoperative.
Models 1,223 2012-2013 Equus and 2012 Genesis vehicles.
What to Do Have the dealer replace the windshield wiper motor with a revised wiper motor.

2012-2014 Volkswagen Passat models
Certain vehicles may have been equipped with an underbody sensor whose seal was improperly assembled, allowing water to penetrate and corrode the electrical terminals, resulting in an electrical short. The Malfunction Indicator Light (MIL) might illuminate in the vehicle and cause the circuit to overheat, increasing the risk of fire.
Models 11,693 vehicles.
What to Do Have the dealer inspect and, if necessary, replace the affected connector.

2015-2016 Ford and Lincoln models
On certain vehicles equipped with a 2.3-liter GTDi engine and an engine block heater, the block heater could be susceptible to overheating due to the engine’s orientation, resulting in engine coolant leakage or heat damage to the electrical connector of the block heater and an increased risk of an underhood fire.
What to Do Have the dealer replace the engine block heater element with one of a different design and inspect the block heater’s electrical cord, and replace it if required. In the interim, owners are advised to stop using their block heater until the campaign is completed. Note: The risk exists only when the block heater is plugged in and the vehicle is parked.

2016-2017 Hyundai Santa Fe Sport models
On certain vehicles, the wiring to the switch within the driver seat belt buckle, which monitors whether the seat belt is buckled or unbuckled, could become damaged by the seat’s height adjuster mechanism. That could result in no audible signal (chime) or indicator light if the buckle is not fastened, or the chime and indicator light could stay on when the seat belt is buckled.
Models 9,552 vehicles.
What to Do Have the dealer inspect and, if necessary, reroute the harness to its proper location. Any harness with damage to the circuitry will be replaced.
## Autos

All of the tested vehicles are available in Canada. Listed within category, Report and Ratings, pages 62-64.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAKE &amp; MODEL</th>
<th>PRICE RANGE</th>
<th>ACCELERATION (SEC.)</th>
<th>FUEL ECONOMY (LITERS PER 100 KM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0-50 KM/H</td>
<td>0-100 KM/H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPACT SUVs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota RAV4 Hybrid</td>
<td>$34,715–$38,515</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota RAV4 (4-cyl.)</td>
<td>$25,240–$37,750</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LUXURY ENTRY-LEVEL SUV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMW X1</td>
<td>$38,800–$46,350</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LUXURY COMPACT SUV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercedes-Benz GLC</td>
<td>$44,960–$52,750</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LUXURY 3-ROW SUV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audi Q7</td>
<td>$65,200–$73,500</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Contact Info

How to reach manufacturers in Canada.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Backyard Grill</td>
<td>Contact local Walmart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broil King</td>
<td>800-245-5138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broil-Mate</td>
<td>800-245-5138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Char-Broil</td>
<td>905-529-1059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyna-Glo</td>
<td>847-324-5900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>210-546-0500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frigidaire</td>
<td>905-813-7700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haier</td>
<td>973-617-1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KitchenAid</td>
<td>800-807-6777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG</td>
<td>888-542-2623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napoleon</td>
<td>napoleongrills.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nexgrill</td>
<td>800-913-8999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber</td>
<td>800-265-2150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whynter</td>
<td>866-949-6837</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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